

No. 21
STATE OF MICHIGAN
JOURNAL
OF THE
House of Representatives
95th Legislature
REGULAR SESSION OF 2009

House Chamber, Lansing, Thursday, March 12, 2009.

12:00 Noon.

The House was called to order by Associate Speaker Pro Tempore Jackson.

The roll was called by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, who announced that a quorum was present.

Agema—present	Durhal—present	Lahti—present	Proos—present
Amash—present	Ebli—present	LeBlanc—present	Roberts—present
Angerer—present	Elsenheimer—present	Leland—present	Rocca—present
Ball—present	Espinoza—present	Lemmons—excused	Rogers—present
Barnett—present	Geiss—present	Lindberg—present	Schmidt, R.—present
Bauer—present	Genetski—present	Lipton—present	Schmidt, W.—present
Bennett—present	Gonzales—present	Liss—present	Schuitmaker—present
Bledsoe—present	Green—present	Lori—present	Scott, B.—present
Bolger—present	Gregory—present	Lund—present	Scott, P.—present
Booher—present	Griffin—present	Marleau—present	Scripps—present
Brown, L.—present	Haase—present	Mayes—present	Segal—present
Brown, T.—present	Haines—present	McDowell—present	Sheltrown—present
Byrnes—present	Hammel—present	McMillin—present	Simpson—present
Byrum—present	Hansen—present	Meadows—present	Slavens—present
Calley—present	Haugh—present	Meekhof—present	Slezak—present
Caul—present	Haveman—present	Melton—present	Smith—present
Clemente—present	Hildenbrand—present	Meltzer—present	Spade—present
Constan—present	Horn—present	Miller—present	Stamas—present
Corriveau—present	Huckleberry—present	Moore—present	Stanley—present
Coulouris—present	Jackson—present	Moss—present	Switalski—present
Crawford—present	Johnson—present	Nathan—present	Tlaib—present
Cushingberry—present	Jones, Rick—present	Nerat—present	Tyler—present
Daley—present	Jones, Robert—present	Neumann—present	Valentine—present
Dean—present	Kandrevas—present	Opsommer—present	Walsh—present
Denby—present	Kennedy—present	Pavlov—present	Warren—present
DeShazor—present	Knollenberg—present	Pearce—present	Womack—present
Dillon—present	Kowall—present	Polidori—present	Young—present
Donigan—present	Kurtz—present		

e/d/s = entered during session

Rep. Douglas A. Geiss, from the 22nd District, offered the following invocation:

“My fellow legislators in this body of leaders, we begin today’s session at a point in time when all seems so uncertain and challenged. Therefore, I invite you to listen to a scene ‘Chronicled’ in Jewish Scriptures of an important moment in their history. It was a time of rebuilding and a time when the great King Josiah [Joe-sigh-ah] needed something to bring the people together. And so I share with you this reading:

Hilkiah [Hill-kai-ah] found the book of the Law of the Lord given through Moses. He reported this saying, ‘I have found the book of the law in the house of the Lord’ ... King Josiah, then, convened all the elders of Judah and Jerusalem. He went up to the house of the Lord with all the inhabitants of Jerusalem, all the people great and small; and he had read aloud the entire text of the book of covenant that had been found in the house of the Lord. Standing at his post, the King made a covenant before the Lord to follow the Lord and to keep his commandments, decrees, and statutes with his whole heart and soul.

My fellow legislators we pause for a moment and consider our own story: The challenges before us, the fears that must be battled and the hopes to be nourished. We look into ourselves and with humble awareness find inspiration in King Josiah, a man reconciled with God, a man reconciled to the greatest truths that guard our human soul. Our prayer then is similar to his. For we too look to invoke sacred commitments in our journey forward. Through each may form and fashion that commitment and covenant differently, each recognizes something greater than him or herself in the public service we offer. So we pray for God’s blessing in all, we pray for a renewal to touch our state, our people, our government, and ultimately our hearts. A blessing that goes beyond the boundaries of time, a blessing that lasts for ever and ever. Amen.”

Rep. Agema moved that Rep. Marleau be excused from the balance of today’s session.
The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that Rep. Lemmons be excused from today’s session.
The motion prevailed.

The Speaker Pro Tempore assumed the Chair.

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4083, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 270, entitled “Michigan strategic fund act,” by amending section 11 (MCL 125.2011), as amended by 1987 PA 278.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Miller moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4083, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 270, entitled “Michigan strategic fund act,” by amending section 11 (MCL 125.2011), as amended by 1987 PA 278.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 41**Yeas—82**

Angerer	Durhal	Lahti	Rogers
Ball	Ebli	LeBlanc	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Espinoza	Leland	Scott, B.
Bauer	Geiss	Lindberg	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gonzales	Lipton	Scripps
Bledsoe	Gregory	Liss	Segal
Bolger	Griffin	Mayes	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Simpson
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Slavens
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Slezak
Byrum	Hansen	Melton	Smith
Calley	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Horn	Moore	Stamas
Constan	Huckleberry	Nathan	Stanley
Corriveau	Jackson	Nerat	Switalski
Coulouris	Johnson	Neumann	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Pearce	Valentine
Dean	Jones, Robert	Polidori	Warren
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Roberts	Womack
Dillon	Kennedy	Rocca	Young
Donigan	Kowall		

Nays—26

Agema	Elsenheimer	Lori	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Lund	Proos
Booher	Green	Meekhof	Schmidt, W.
Caul	Haveman	Meltzer	Schuitmaker
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Moss	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby	Kurtz		

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Reps. Bennett, Byrnes, Byrum, Constan, Corriveau, Dean, Donigan, Durhal, Espinoza, Gonzales, Gregory, Haase, Hammel, Huckleberry, Johnson, Kandrevas, Lahti, LeBlanc, Leland, Lipton, Mayes, McDowell, Melton, Nathan, Neumann, Scripps, Simpson, Smith, Stanley, Switalski and Warren were named co-sponsors of the bill.

Rep. Hildenbrand, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC's ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state's ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time."

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - 'Hire Michigan First'

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC's ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state's ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time."

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4090, entitled

A bill to amend 2007 PA 36, entitled "Michigan business tax act," by amending section 435 (MCL 208.1435), as amended by 2008 PA 448.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Corriveau moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.
The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of
Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4090, entitled

A bill to amend 2007 PA 36, entitled “Michigan business tax act,” by amending section 435 (MCL 208.1435), as amended by 2008 PA 448.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 42

Yeas—83

Angerer	Durhal	Lahti	Rogers
Ball	Ebli	LeBlanc	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Espinoza	Leland	Scott, B.
Bauer	Geiss	Lindberg	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gonzales	Lipton	Scripps
Bledsoe	Gregory	Liss	Segal
Bolger	Griffin	Mayes	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Simpson
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Slavens
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Slezak
Byrum	Hansen	Melton	Smith
Calley	Haugh	Meltzer	Spade
Clemente	Horn	Miller	Stamas
Constan	Huckleberry	Moore	Stanley
Corriveau	Jackson	Nathan	Switalski
Coulouris	Johnson	Nerat	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Valentine
Dean	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Warren
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Polidori	Womack
Dillon	Kennedy	Roberts	Young
Donigan	Kowall	Rocca	

Nays—25

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Lori	Proos
Booher	Green	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Caul	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Moss	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.
Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4084, entitled

A bill to amend 1996 PA 381, entitled “Brownfield redevelopment financing act,” by amending section 15 (MCL 125.2665), as amended by 2007 PA 201.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Slavens moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Angerer moved that Rep. Corriveau be excused temporarily from today’s session.

The motion prevailed.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4084, entitled

A bill to amend 1996 PA 381, entitled “Brownfield redevelopment financing act,” by amending section 15 (MCL 125.2665), as amended by 2007 PA 201.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 43**Yeas—82**

Angerer	Ebli	LeBlanc	Rogers
Ball	Espinoza	Leland	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Geiss	Lindberg	Scott, B.
Bauer	Gonzales	Lipton	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gregory	Liss	Scripps
Bledsoe	Griffin	Mayes	Segal
Bolger	Haase	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haines	McMillin	Simpson
Brown, T.	Hammel	Meadows	Slavens
Byrnes	Hansen	Melton	Slezak
Byrum	Haugh	Miller	Smith
Calley	Horn	Moore	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Nathan	Stamas
Constan	Jackson	Nerat	Stanley
Coulouris	Johnson	Neumann	Switalski
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Opsommer	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Valentine
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Polidori	Warren
Dillon	Kennedy	Roberts	Womack
Donigan	Kowall	Rocca	Young
Durhal	Lahti		

Nays—25

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Lori	Proos
Booher	Green	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Caul	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Meltzer	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Moss	Walsh
Denby			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC's ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state's ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time."

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4085, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled "The management and budget act," by amending section 241a (MCL 18.1241a), as added by 1988 PA 504.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Hansen moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 2, line 3, after "contract." by striking out the balance of the section.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Hansen moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 1, line 2, by striking out all of line 2 through "property" on line 3 and inserting "**FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS, SERVICES, OR INFRASTRUCTURE**".

2. Amend page 2, line 3, after "contract." by striking out the balance of the section.

The motion did not prevail and the amendments were not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Meadows moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4085, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled "The management and budget act," by amending section 241a (MCL 18.1241a), as added by 1988 PA 504.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 44

Yeas—66

Angerer
Barnett

Ebli
Espinoza

Leland
Lindberg

Scott, B.
Scripps

Bauer	Geiss	Lipton	Segal
Bennett	Gonzales	Liss	Sheltrown
Bledsoe	Gregory	Mayes	Simpson
Brown, L.	Griffin	McDowell	Slavens
Brown, T.	Haase	Meadows	Slezak
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Smith
Byrum	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Nathan	Stanley
Constan	Jackson	Nerat	Switalski
Coulouris	Johnson	Neumann	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Jones, Robert	Polidori	Valentine
Dean	Kandrevas	Roberts	Warren
Dillon	Kennedy	Rocca	Womack
Donigan	Lahti	Schmidt, R.	Young
Durhal	LeBlanc		

Nays—41

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kowall	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Kurtz	Pearce
Ball	Green	Lori	Proos
Bolger	Haines	Lund	Rogers
Booher	Hansen	McMillin	Schmidt, W.
Calley	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Caul	Hildenbrand	Meltzer	Scott, P.
Crawford	Horn	Moore	Stamas
Daley	Jones, Rick	Moss	Tyler
Denby	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
DeShazor			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4086, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled “The management and budget act,” by amending section 264 (MCL 18.1264), as added by 1988 PA 504, and by adding section 264a.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Commerce,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Hansen moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 1, line 10, after “**KNOWINGLY**” by striking out “**OR REPEATEDLY**”.
2. Amend page 2, line 6, after “**KNOWINGLY**” by striking out the balance of the line through “**REPEATEDLY**” on line 7.

The motion did not prevail and the amendments were not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Agema moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 2, line 5, after “**CONTRACT**” by inserting a comma and “**A CLAUSE REQUIRING THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THE IDENTITY AND EMPLOYMENT ELIGIBILITY OF ITS EMPLOYEES AND THAT THE CONTRACTOR WILL NOT CONTRACT WITH ANY BUSINESS ENTITY UNLESS THAT BUSINESS ENTITY AGREES TO VERIFY THE IDENTITY AND EMPLOYMENT ELIGIBILITY OF ITS EMPLOYEES, THROUGH THE USE OF THE E-VERIFY SYSTEM OPERATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY OR AN EQUIVALENT IDENTITY VERIFICATION SYSTEM,**”.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Ebli moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4086, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled “The management and budget act,” by amending section 264 (MCL 18.1264), as added by 1988 PA 504, and by adding section 264a.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 45

Yeas—66

Angerer
Barnett

Ebli
Espinoza

Leland
Lindberg

Scott, B.
Scripps

Bauer	Geiss	Lipton	Segal
Bennett	Gonzales	Liss	Sheltrown
Bledsoe	Gregory	Mayes	Simpson
Brown, L.	Griffin	McDowell	Slavens
Brown, T.	Haase	Meadows	Slezak
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Smith
Byrum	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Nathan	Stanley
Constan	Jackson	Nerat	Switalski
Coulouris	Johnson	Neumann	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Jones, Robert	Polidori	Valentine
Dean	Kandrevas	Roberts	Warren
Dillon	Kennedy	Rocca	Womack
Donigan	Lahti	Schmidt, R.	Young
Durhal	LeBlanc		

Nays—41

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kowall	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Kurtz	Pearce
Ball	Green	Lori	Proos
Bolger	Haines	Lund	Rogers
Booher	Hansen	McMillin	Schmidt, W.
Calley	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Caul	Hildenbrand	Meltzer	Scott, P.
Crawford	Horn	Moore	Stamas
Daley	Jones, Rick	Moss	Tyler
Denby	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
DeShazor			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4087, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled “The management and budget act,” (MCL 18.1101 to 18.1594) by adding section 241c.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Lisa Brown moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4087, entitled

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled “The management and budget act,” (MCL 18.1101 to 18.1594) by adding section 241c.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 46

Yeas—86

Angerer	Durhal	LeBlanc	Rogers
Ball	Ebli	Leland	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Espinoza	Lindberg	Scott, B.
Bauer	Geiss	Lipton	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gonzales	Liss	Scripps
Bledsoe	Gregory	Mayes	Segal
Bolger	Griffin	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haase	McMillin	Simpson
Brown, T.	Haines	Meadows	Slavens
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Slezak
Byrum	Hansen	Meltzer	Smith
Calley	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Caul	Horn	Moore	Stamas
Clemente	Huckleberry	Moss	Stanley
Constan	Jackson	Nathan	Switalski
Coulouris	Johnson	Nerat	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Valentine

Dean	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Walsh
Denby	Kandrevas	Polidori	Warren
DeShazor	Kennedy	Roberts	Womack
Dillon	Knollenberg	Rocca	Young
Donigan	Lahti		

Nays—21

Agema	Genetski	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Green	Lori	Proos
Booher	Haveman	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Daley	Kowall	Opsommer	Tyler
Elsenheimer			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4088, entitled

A bill to amend 1996 PA 376, entitled "Michigan renaissance zone act," by amending sections 15 and 16 (MCL 125.2695 and 125.2696), and by adding sections 8g and 8h.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Simpson moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4088, entitled

A bill to amend 1996 PA 376, entitled "Michigan renaissance zone act," by amending sections 15 and 16 (MCL 125.2695 and 125.2696), and by adding sections 8g and 8h.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 47

Yeas—81

Angerer	Ebli	Lahti	Rocca
Ball	Espinoza	LeBlanc	Rogers
Barnett	Geiss	Leland	Schmidt, R.
Bauer	Gonzales	Lindberg	Scott, B.
Bennett	Gregory	Lipton	Scott, P.
Bledsoe	Griffin	Liss	Scripps
Bolger	Haase	Mayes	Segal
Brown, L.	Haines	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, T.	Hammel	McMillin	Simpson
Byrnes	Hansen	Meadows	Slavens
Byrum	Haugh	Melton	Slezak
Calley	Horn	Meltzer	Smith
Clemente	Huckleberry	Miller	Spade
Constan	Jackson	Moore	Stanley
Coulouris	Johnson	Nathan	Switalski
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Nerat	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Robert	Neumann	Valentine
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Pearce	Warren
Dillon	Kennedy	Polidori	Womack
Donigan	Kurtz	Roberts	Young
Durhal			

Nays—26

Agema	Elsenheimer	Lori	Proos
Amash	Genetski	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Booher	Green	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Caul	Haveman	Moss	Stamas
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Opsommer	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Pavlov	Walsh
Denby	Kowall		

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.
Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4089, entitled

A bill to amend 1995 PA 24, entitled “Michigan economic growth authority act,” by amending sections 8 and 10 (MCL 207.808 and 207.810), section 8 as amended by 2008 PA 257 and section 10 as amended by 2006 PA 283.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Nerat moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4089, entitled

A bill to amend 1995 PA 24, entitled “Michigan economic growth authority act,” by amending sections 8 and 10 (MCL 207.808 and 207.810), section 8 as amended by 2008 PA 257 and section 10 as amended by 2006 PA 283.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 48**Yeas—81**

Angerer	Ebli	LeBlanc	Rogers
Ball	Espinoza	Leland	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Geiss	Lindberg	Scott, B.
Bauer	Gonzales	Lipton	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gregory	Liss	Scripps
Bledsoe	Griffin	Mayes	Segal
Bolger	Haase	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haines	McMillin	Simpson
Brown, T.	Hammel	Meadows	Slavens
Byrnes	Hansen	Melton	Slezak
Byrum	Haugh	Meltzer	Smith
Calley	Horn	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Moore	Stamas
Constan	Jackson	Nathan	Stanley
Coulouris	Johnson	Nerat	Switalski
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Valentine
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Polidori	Warren
Dillon	Kennedy	Roberts	Womack
Donigan	Lahti	Rocca	Young
Durhal			

Nays—26

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Lori	Proos
Booher	Green	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Caul	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Moss	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby	Kowall		

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC's ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state's ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time."

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4091, entitled

A bill to amend 2000 PA 146, entitled "Obsolete property rehabilitation act," by amending section 8 (MCL 125.2788), as amended by 2006 PA 667.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Commerce,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Miller moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 5, line 14, after "**THE**" by striking out "**LEGISLATIVE BODY OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNIT**" and inserting "**APPLICANT**".

2. Amend page 5, line 19, after "**JOBS**" by inserting "**BY THE APPLICANT**".

3. Amend page 5, line 23, after "**CREATED**" by inserting "**BY THE APPLICANT**".

The motion prevailed and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Huckleberry moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4091, entitled

A bill to amend 2000 PA 146, entitled "Obsolete property rehabilitation act," by amending section 8 (MCL 125.2788), as amended by 2006 PA 667.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 49

Yeas—80

Angerer
Ball
Barnett
Bauer

Durhal
Ebli
Espinoza
Geiss

Lahti
LeBlanc
Leland
Lindberg

Rocca
Rogers
Schmidt, R.
Scott, B.

Bennett	Gonzales	Lipton	Scott, P.
Bledsoe	Gregory	Liss	Scripps
Bolger	Griffin	Mayes	Segal
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Simpson
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Slavens
Byrum	Hansen	Melton	Slezak
Calley	Haugh	Meltzer	Smith
Clemente	Horn	Miller	Spade
Constan	Huckleberry	Moore	Stanley
Coulouris	Jackson	Nathan	Switalski
Cushingberry	Johnson	Nerat	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Valentine
DeShazor	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Warren
Dillon	Kandrevas	Polidori	Womack
Donigan	Kennedy	Roberts	Young

Nays—27

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Proos
Amash	Genetski	Lori	Schmidt, W.
Booher	Green	Lund	Schuitmaker
Caul	Haveman	Meekhof	Stamas
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Moss	Tyler
Daley	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby	Kowall	Pavlov	

In The Chair: Byrnes

The question being on agreeing to the title of the bill,

Rep. Angerer moved to amend the title to read as follows:

A bill to amend 2000 PA 146, entitled “Obsolete property rehabilitation act,” by amending section 8 (MCL 125.2788), as amended by 2008 PA 504.

The motion prevailed.

The House agreed to the title as amended.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state's ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time."

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4092, entitled

A bill to amend 1963 PA 62, entitled "Industrial development revenue bond act of 1963," (MCL 125.1251 to 125.1267) by adding section 5a.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Commerce,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Hansen moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 2, line 2, after "**KNOWINGLY**" by striking out "**OR REPEATEDLY**".

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Miller moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 3, after "**THE**" by striking out "**GOVERNING BODY OF THE MUNICIPALITY**" and inserting "**APPLICANT**".

2. Amend page 3, line 7, after "**JOBS**" by inserting "**BY THE APPLICANT**".

3. Amend page 3, line 10, after "**CREATED**" by inserting "**BY THE APPLICANT**".

The motion prevailed and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Constan moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4092, entitled

A bill to amend 1963 PA 62, entitled "Industrial development revenue bond act of 1963," (MCL 125.1251 to 125.1267) by adding section 5a.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 50

Yeas—75

Angerer
Ball

Donigan
Durhal

Lahti
LeBlanc

Schmidt, R.
Scott, B.

Barnett	Ebli	Leland	Scott, P.
Bauer	Espinoza	Lindberg	Scripps
Bennett	Geiss	Lipton	Segal
Bledsoe	Gonzales	Liss	Sheltrown
Bolger	Gregory	Mayes	Simpson
Brown, L.	Griffin	McDowell	Slavens
Brown, T.	Haase	Meadows	Slezak
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Smith
Byrum	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Calley	Horn	Moore	Stanley
Clemente	Huckleberry	Nathan	Switalski
Constan	Jackson	Nerat	Tlaib
Coulouris	Johnson	Neumann	Valentine
Cushingberry	Jones, Rick	Pearce	Warren
Dean	Jones, Robert	Polidori	Womack
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Roberts	Young
Dillon	Kennedy	Rocca	

Nays—32

Agema	Genetski	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Green	Lori	Proos
Booher	Haines	Lund	Rogers
Caul	Hansen	McMillin	Schmidt, W.
Crawford	Haveman	Meekhof	Schuitmaker
Daley	Hildenbrand	Meltzer	Stamas
Denby	Knollenberg	Moss	Tyler
Elsenheimer	Kowall	Opsommer	Walsh

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4093, entitled

A bill to amend 1974 PA 198, entitled “An act to provide for the establishment of plant rehabilitation districts and industrial development districts in local governmental units; to provide for the exemption from certain taxes; to levy and collect a specific tax upon the owners of certain facilities; to impose and provide for the disposition of an administrative fee; to provide for the disposition of the tax; to provide for the obtaining and transferring of an exemption certificate and to prescribe the contents of those certificates; to prescribe the powers and duties of the state tax commission and certain officers of local governmental units; and to provide penalties,” by amending section 4 (MCL 207.554), as amended by 2004 PA 437.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. Miller moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 5, line 12, after “**THE**” by striking out “**LEGISLATIVE BODY OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNIT**” and inserting “**APPLICANT**”.

2. Amend page 5, line 17, after “**JOBS**” by inserting “**BY THE APPLICANT**”.

3. Amend page 5, line 21, after “**CREATED**” by inserting “**BY THE APPLICANT**”.

The motion prevailed and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Bettie Scott moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4093, entitled

A bill to amend 1974 PA 198, entitled “An act to provide for the establishment of plant rehabilitation districts and industrial development districts in local governmental units; to provide for the exemption from certain taxes; to levy and collect a specific tax upon the owners of certain facilities; to impose and provide for the disposition of an administrative fee; to provide for the disposition of the tax; to provide for the obtaining and transferring of an exemption certificate and to prescribe the contents of those certificates; to prescribe the powers and duties of the state tax commission and certain officers of local governmental units; and to provide penalties,” by amending section 4 (MCL 207.554), as amended by 2004 PA 437.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 51

Yeas—79

Angerer
Ball

Durhal
Ebli

Lahti
LeBlanc

Rogers
Schmidt, R.

Barnett	Espinoza	Leland	Scott, B.
Bauer	Geiss	Lindberg	Scott, P.
Bennett	Gonzales	Lipton	Scripps
Bledsoe	Gregory	Liss	Segal
Bolger	Griffin	Mayes	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Simpson
Brown, T.	Haines	Meadows	Slavens
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Slezak
Byrum	Hansen	Meltzer	Smith
Calley	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Horn	Moore	Stanley
Constan	Huckleberry	Nathan	Switalski
Coulouris	Jackson	Nerat	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Johnson	Neumann	Valentine
Dean	Jones, Rick	Pearce	Warren
DeShazor	Jones, Robert	Polidori	Womack
Dillon	Kandrevas	Roberts	Young
Donigan	Kennedy	Rocca	

Nays—28

Agema	Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Genetski	Lori	Proos
Booher	Green	Lund	Schmidt, W.
Caul	Haveman	McMillin	Schuitmaker
Crawford	Hildenbrand	Meekhof	Stamas
Daley	Knollenberg	Moss	Tyler
Denby	Kowall	Opsommer	Walsh

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4094, entitled

A bill to amend 1987 PA 231, entitled “An act to create a transportation economic development fund in the state treasury; to prescribe the uses of and distributions from this fund; to create the office of economic development and to prescribe its powers and duties; to prescribe the powers and duties of the state transportation department, state transportation commission, and certain other bodies; and to permit the issuance of certain bonds,” by amending section 13 (MCL 247.913) and by adding section 9a.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Commerce,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Hansen moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 1, line 9, after “**KNOWINGLY**” by striking out “**OR REPEATEDLY**”.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Roberts moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4094, entitled

A bill to amend 1987 PA 231, entitled “An act to create a transportation economic development fund in the state treasury; to prescribe the uses of and distributions from this fund; to create the office of economic development and to prescribe its powers and duties; to prescribe the powers and duties of the state transportation department, state transportation commission, and certain other bodies; and to permit the issuance of certain bonds,” by amending section 13 (MCL 247.913) and by adding section 9a.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 52

Yeas—71

Angerer	Donigan	Lahti	Scott, B.
Ball	Durhal	LeBlanc	Scott, P.
Barnett	Ebli	Leland	Scripps
Bauer	Espinoza	Lindberg	Segal
Bennett	Geiss	Lipton	Sheltrown
Bledsoe	Gonzales	Liss	Simpson

Bolger	Gregory	Mayes	Slavens
Brown, L.	Griffin	McDowell	Slezak
Brown, T.	Haase	Meadows	Smith
Byrnes	Hammel	Melton	Spade
Byrum	Hansen	Miller	Stanley
Clemente	Haugh	Nathan	Switalski
Constan	Huckleberry	Nerat	Tlaib
Coulouris	Jackson	Neumann	Valentine
Cushingberry	Johnson	Polidori	Warren
Dean	Jones, Robert	Roberts	Womack
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Rocca	Young
Dillon	Kennedy	Schmidt, R.	

Nays—36

Agema	Genetski	Kurtz	Pavlov
Amash	Green	Lori	Pearce
Booher	Haines	Lund	Proos
Calley	Haveman	McMillin	Rogers
Caul	Hildenbrand	Meekhof	Schmidt, W.
Crawford	Horn	Meltzer	Schuitmaker
Daley	Jones, Rick	Moore	Stamas
Denby	Knollenberg	Moss	Tyler
Elsenheimer	Kowall	Opsommer	Walsh

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

No Vote Explanation

House Bill 4083-4094 - ‘Hire Michigan First’

House Bills 4083-4094, while well-intentioned, have several serious drawbacks that I cannot support.

These bills disqualify employers from doing business with the government or receiving tax incentives if they fail to hire exclusively Michigan residents whenever possible. The purported aim is to create jobs, but in reality these bills create additional burdens that make it harder for companies to hire new employees, make it harder for our state to attract new investment, and will make Michigan a less competitive place to do business.

These bills could have a negative impact on the MEDC’s ability to attract out-of-state or out-of-country businesses through the use of tax incentives.

These bills include special exemptions for employees from out-of-state as long as they are in a union, favoring unionized companies at the expense of family businesses. This provision may also run afoul of federal labor laws that specifically prohibit states from making rules that favor unions over non-union employees, and may jeopardize our state’s ability to receive federal funds.

These bills ignore the special needs of businesses in border communities, making them ineligible to receive tax credits and incentives or do business with the government.

These bills could end up wasting taxpayer money if contracts are awarded to companies who only hire Michigan residents but who are not the most-qualified and equipped to handle a state contract. On this point, it should be noted the state itself has demonstrated an inability to hire qualified Michigan firms for certain projects.

These bills may have the unintended consequence of actually discouraging companies from applying for tax credits because of the penalties that could be imposed if an employer is found to not be in compliance, especially considering determining whether a business made a good-faith effort to hire a Michigan resident first is subjective.

Finally, the provision to prevent hiring of illegal aliens, while again well-intentioned, absolves the government of its obligation to enforce immigration laws and instead pushes the burden on employers to verify legal status of employees, which will make it even more expensive for an employer to hire new employees.

While there are parts of this legislation I support, as a whole I am concerned it could actually harm our ability to create jobs. For these reasons, I cannot support these bills at this time.”

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4072, entitled

A bill to amend 1943 PA 240, entitled “State employees’ retirement act,” by amending section 20d (MCL 38.20d), as amended by 2002 PA 93.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Judiciary,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Meadows moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4072, entitled

A bill to amend 1943 PA 240, entitled “State employees’ retirement act,” by amending section 20d (MCL 38.20d), as amended by 2002 PA 93.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 53

Yeas—95

Agema	Elsenheimer	Lahti	Rogers
Amash	Espinoza	LeBlanc	Schmidt, R.
Angerer	Geiss	Leland	Schmidt, W.
Barnett	Genetski	Lipton	Schuitmaker
Bledsoe	Gonzales	Liss	Scott, B.
Bolger	Gregory	Lori	Scott, P.
Booher	Griffin	Mayes	Scripps
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Segal
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Sheltrown
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Simpson
Calley	Hansen	Meekhof	Slavens
Clemente	Haugh	Melton	Slezak
Constan	Haveman	Meltzer	Smith
Coulouris	Hildenbrand	Miller	Spade
Crawford	Horn	Moore	Stamas
Cushingberry	Huckleberry	Moss	Stanley
Daley	Jackson	Nathan	Switalski
Dean	Johnson	Nerat	Tlaib
Denby	Jones, Robert	Neumann	Tyler
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Pavlov	Valentine
Dillon	Kennedy	Polidori	Walsh
Donigan	Knollenberg	Proos	Warren

Durhal
Ebli

Kowall
Kurtz

Roberts
Rocca

Womack

Nays—12

Ball
Bauer
Bennett

Byrum
Caul
Green

Jones, Rick
Lindberg
Lund

Opsommer
Pearce
Young

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4353, entitled

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled “Income tax act of 1967,” by amending section 30 (MCL 206.30), as amended by 2007 PA 154.

The bill was read a second time.

Rep. McMillin moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 13, line 3, after “subdivision” by striking out the balance of the line through ““Qualified” on line 21 of page 17 and inserting a comma and ““QUALIFIED”.

2. Amend page 17, line 23, by striking out “(A)” and inserting “(i)”.

3. Amend page 17, line 26, by striking out “(B)” and inserting “(ii)”.

4. Amend page 18, line 2, by striking out “(C)” and inserting “(iii)”.

5. Amend page 18, line 4, by striking out “(D)” and inserting “(iv)”.

6. Amend page 18, line 10, by striking out all of sub-subparagraphs (E) and (F).

The question being on the adoption of the amendments offered by Rep. McMillin,

Rep. McMillin demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand was supported.

The question being on the adoption of the amendments offered by Rep. McMillin,

The amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 54

Yeas—77

Agema
Amash
Ball
Barnett
Bledsoe
Bolger
Booher
Brown, L.
Brown, T.
Byrum
Calley
Caul
Crawford

Green
Griffin
Haase
Haines
Hammel
Hansen
Haugh
Haveman
Hildenbrand
Horn
Huckleberry
Jones, Rick
Jones, Robert

Liss
Lori
Lund
Mayes
McDowell
McMillin
Meekhof
Meltzer
Miller
Moore
Moss
Nerat
Neumann

Rocca
Rogers
Schmidt, R.
Schmidt, W.
Schuitmaker
Scott, P.
Scripps
Segal
Sheltrown
Simpson
Slavens
Slezak
Spade

Daley	Kandrevas	Opsommer	Stamas
Denby	Kennedy	Pavlov	Stanley
DeShazor	Knollenberg	Pearce	Switalski
Ebli	Kowall	Polidori	Tyler
Elsenheimer	Kurtz	Proos	Valentine
Espinoza	LeBlanc	Roberts	Walsh
Genetski			

Nays—30

Angerer	Dean	Johnson	Nathan
Bauer	Dillon	Lahti	Scott, B.
Bennett	Donigan	Leland	Smith
Byrnes	Durhal	Lindberg	Tlaib
Clemente	Geiss	Lipton	Warren
Constan	Gonzales	Meadows	Womack
Coulouris	Gregory	Melton	Young
Cushingberry	Jackson		

In The Chair: Byrnes

Rep. Clemente moved to substitute (H-1) the bill.

The motion prevailed and the substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Clemente moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills**House Bill No. 4353, entitled**

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled "Income tax act of 1967," by amending section 30 (MCL 206.30), as amended by 2007 PA 154.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 55**Yeas—97**

Angerer	Espinoza	Lahti	Rocca
Barnett	Geiss	LeBlanc	Schmidt, R.
Bauer	Gonzales	Leland	Schmidt, W.
Bennett	Green	Lindberg	Schuitmaker
Bledsoe	Gregory	Lipton	Scott, B.
Bolger	Griffin	Liss	Scott, P.
Booher	Haase	Lori	Scripps
Brown, L.	Haines	Lund	Segal
Brown, T.	Hammel	Mayes	Sheltrown
Byrnes	Hansen	McDowell	Simpson
Byrum	Haugh	Meadows	Slavens
Calley	Haveman	Melton	Slezak
Clemente	Hildenbrand	Meltzer	Smith
Constan	Horn	Miller	Spade

Coulouris	Huckleberry	Moore	Stamas
Crawford	Jackson	Nathan	Stanley
Cushingberry	Johnson	Nerat	Switalski
Daley	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Robert	Opsommer	Tyler
Denby	Kandrevas	Pavlov	Valentine
DeShazor	Kennedy	Pearce	Walsh
Dillon	Knollenberg	Polidori	Warren
Donigan	Kowall	Proos	Womack
Durhal	Kurtz	Roberts	Young
Ebli			

Nays—10

Agema	Caul	McMillin	Moss
Amash	Elsenheimer	Meekhof	Rogers
Ball	Genetski		

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.
Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Second Reading of Bills**House Bill No. 4125, entitled**

A bill to amend 1975 PA 238, entitled “Child protection law,” (MCL 722.621 to 722.638) by adding section 7k.
Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Families and Children’s Services,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Spade moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills**House Bill No. 4125, entitled**

A bill to amend 1975 PA 238, entitled “Child protection law,” (MCL 722.621 to 722.638) by adding section 7k.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 56**Yeas—107**

Agema	Durhal	Kurtz	Roberts
Amash	Ebli	Lahti	Rocca
Angerer	Elsenheimer	LeBlanc	Rogers
Ball	Espinoza	Leland	Schmidt, R.

Barnett	Geiss	Lindberg	Schmidt, W.
Bauer	Genetski	Lipton	Schuitmaker
Bennett	Gonzales	Liss	Scott, B.
Bledsoe	Green	Lori	Scott, P.
Bolger	Gregory	Lund	Scripps
Booher	Griffin	Mayes	Segal
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Simpson
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Slavens
Byrum	Hansen	Meekhof	Slezak
Calley	Haugh	Melton	Smith
Caul	Haveman	Meltzer	Spade
Clemente	Hildenbrand	Miller	Stamas
Constan	Horn	Moore	Stanley
Coulouris	Huckleberry	Moss	Switalski
Crawford	Jackson	Nathan	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Johnson	Nerat	Tyler
Daley	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Valentine
Dean	Jones, Robert	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby	Kandrevas	Pavlov	Warren
DeShazor	Kennedy	Pearce	Womack
Dillon	Knollenberg	Polidori	Young
Donigan	Kowall	Proos	

Nays—0

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4117, entitled

A bill to provide for remedies and prescribe civil sanctions against a person who presents a false or fraudulent claim to obtain money, property, or services from this state; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and agencies; and to prohibit retaliation against a person who pursues a remedy under this act.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-2) previously recommended by the Committee on Judiciary,

The substitute (H-2) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Meadows moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 14, line 26, after “Sec. 11.” by striking out all of subsection (1) and inserting:

“(1) A person shall not bring an action under section 5 after the later of the following:

(a) Six years after the act on which the action is based was committed.

(b) Three years after the official of this state or the political subdivision who is charged with the responsibility to act in the circumstances discovers the act on which the action is based, but in no event more than 10 years after the act was committed.

(2) A person may bring an action under section 5 for conduct that occurred before the effective date of this act if the action is filed within the time limitation in subsection (1).” and renumbering the remaining subsections.

2. Amend page 15, line 6, by striking out all of subsection (3).

The motion prevailed and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Schuitmaker moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 15, after “property” by inserting “or money”.

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Polidori moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 4117, entitled

A bill to provide for remedies and prescribe civil sanctions against a person who presents a false or fraudulent claim to obtain money, property, or services from this state; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and agencies; and to prohibit retaliation against a person who pursues a remedy under this act.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 57

Yeas—107

Agema	Durhal	Kurtz	Roberts
Amash	Ebli	Lahti	Rocca
Angerer	Elsenheimer	LeBlanc	Rogers
Ball	Espinoza	Leland	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Geiss	Lindberg	Schmidt, W.
Bauer	Genetski	Lipton	Schuitmaker
Bennett	Gonzales	Liss	Scott, B.
Bledsoe	Green	Lori	Scott, P.
Bolger	Gregory	Lund	Scripps
Booher	Griffin	Mayes	Segal
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Sheltrown
Brown, T.	Haines	McMillin	Simpson
Byrnes	Hammel	Meadows	Slavens
Byrum	Hansen	Meekhof	Slezak
Calley	Haugh	Melton	Smith
Caul	Haveman	Meltzer	Spade
Clemente	Hildenbrand	Miller	Stamas
Constan	Horn	Moore	Stanley
Coulouris	Huckleberry	Moss	Switalski
Crawford	Jackson	Nathan	Tlaib
Cushingberry	Johnson	Nerat	Tyler
Daley	Jones, Rick	Neumann	Valentine
Dean	Jones, Robert	Opsommer	Walsh
Denby	Kandrevas	Pavlov	Warren
DeShazor	Kennedy	Pearce	Womack
Dillon	Knollenberg	Polidori	Young
Donigan	Kowall	Proos	

Nays—0

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Angerer moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Motions and Resolutions

Rep. Angerer moved to suspend that portion of Rule 41 requiring bills to be handed to the Clerk three hours prior to calling the House to order.

The motion prevailed, 3/5 of the members present voting therefor.

Reps. Tlaib, Kandrevas, Coulouris, Geiss, Switalski, Constan, Liss, Barnett, Slezak, Warren, Scripps, Ebli, Valentine, Lipton, Polidori, Gonzales, Donigan, Dean, Stanley, Durhal, Gregory, Haugh, LeBlanc, Meadows and Spade offered the following resolution:

House Resolution No. 44.

A resolution to urge the United States Coast Guard to study the health, human, and environmental impact of the proposed twin spanning of the Ambassador Bridge on the city of Detroit, region, and the residents of the Southwest Detroit community and to determine that an environmental impact study is needed.

Whereas, The United States Coast Guard has released its draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the proposed twin spanning of the Ambassador Bridge across the Detroit River. The draft report contains several inaccuracies that do not consider the bi-national study findings that the proposed twin span has significant impact on air quality and raises homeland security concerns; and

Whereas, A full, proper and appropriate Environmental Impact Statement not only assesses the potential environmental harm that will result from the construction of a twin span, but it will also provide guidelines to ensure that these risks are sufficiently mitigated; and

Whereas, The environmental impact of the twin spanning proposal on the Southwest Detroit community will be significant and far-reaching. The area already has some of the poorest air quality in the state. The Coast Guard is obligated to consider federal laws, including those that regulate coastal management, wetlands, endangered species, noise, clean air and water, and historic preservation. They are also obligated to consult with federal agencies with expertise in environmental matters, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, with whose help a more complete environmental impact study should be conducted; and

Whereas, An environmental impact statement is of particular need due to recent actions of the Detroit International Bridge Company that go against agreements with the Michigan Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Transportation Administration. Redesigning the Gateway Plaza to redirect traffic onto local streets goes against the purpose and need of the Gateway Project and will lead to significant environmental impacts to the neighborhoods surrounding the Ambassador Bridge. Already, unnecessary delays in construction and the failure to keep bridge traffic off the surface streets of the Southwest Detroit community have added to years of inconvenience and prolonged exposure to diesel fumes from trucks rerouted through the neighborhoods near the Ambassador Bridge; and

Whereas, The private developers of the proposed twin-spanning of the Ambassador Bridge have moved forward with construction of their twin span without appropriate federal, local, or Canadian approval. They must be encouraged to sufficiently study air quality, traffic noise, security, and other potential impacts the project would have on the Southwest Detroit community; and

Whereas, The cities of Detroit and Windsor and the Canadian provincial and national governments share concerns about truck traffic in the area and a desire for any new border crossing to be publicly owned. The Detroit River International Crossing Study, conducted by the Michigan Department of Transportation over the last 3 years, evaluated several proposed solutions to enhance traffic flow over the Detroit River and eliminated the twin spanning option for feasibility reasons. State and local permits and approval may not be obtainable because of the dramatic community impacts of the proposal on both sides of the border; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That we urge the United States Coast Guard to conduct further analysis and issue an environmental impact statement for the proposed twin spanning of the Detroit River at the Ambassador Bridge; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the United States Coast Guard.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Transportation.

Reps. Agema, Moss, Walsh, Kowall, Kurtz, McMillin, Lund, Elsenheimer, DeShazor, Amash, Genetski, Haines, Meekhof, Rogers, Green, Haugh and Lori offered the following resolution:

House Resolution No. 45.

A resolution to urge the Civil Service Commission to negotiate and implement a 5 percent reduction of pay and benefits for state employees.

Whereas, Article XI, Section 5, of the Michigan Constitution of 1963 provides that increases in rates of compensation for employees in the state classified service authorized by the Civil Service Commission, require prior notice to the Governor. The Constitution also requires that such increases be transmitted to the Legislature as part of the budget; and

Whereas, On December 19, 2007, the Civil Service Commission approved multi-year collective bargaining agreements with five state employee unions representing nine bargaining units for Fiscal Years 2009, 2010, and 2011. Fiscal Year 2010 pay adjustments contained in all of these agreements are reflected in the transmittal. The State Police enlisted unit is currently in the process of negotiations; and

Whereas, On December 10, 2008, the Civil Service Commission approved Fiscal Year 2010 pay adjustments for non-exclusively represented state classified employees. These adjustments are in line with adjustments the commission authorized for employees covered by collective bargaining agreements; and

Whereas, The cost of the adjustments for Fiscal Year 2010 is estimated to be \$39.3 million, including the state's share of FICA and retirement contributions, along with an employee concession of \$50 million; and

Whereas, Steps need to be taken to bring state expenditures in line with the current level of our economy. The private sector is rapidly making changes and adapting to Michigan's new economy, while state government has not. Revenues to the state have not kept up with costs, and no growth is forecasted through Fiscal Year 2010. The current recession is one for the record books and is deepening; and

Whereas, State employees have made concessions in the past, and in light of the current status of our economy, state employees need to make more concessions. The state should take actions to contain the cost of government. While some reforms have been made, state government employees should be asked to reduce their wages and to pay more for their benefits. It is reported that the state of Michigan will be asking state employees to make \$50 million in concessions, but this level of concession is not enough; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That we urge the Civil Service Commission to negotiate and implement a 5 percent reduction of pay and benefits for state employees; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Civil Service Commission and to the Governor.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

Reps. Warren, Leland, Terry Brown, Scripps, Lipton, Liss, Young, Miller, Tlaib, Durhal, Meadows, Barnett, Constan, Dean, Donigan, Geiss, Gonzales, Gregory, Haugh, LeBlanc, Lori, Neumann, Polidori, Spade and Switalski offered the following resolution:

House Resolution No. 46.

A resolution to encourage the citizens of the state of Michigan to participation in Earth Hour 2009.

Whereas, Earth Hour 2009 will be observed between 8:30PM and 9:30PM on Saturday, March 28th; and

Whereas, According to the U.S. Department of Energy, lighting accounts for roughly 22 percent of U.S. electricity consumption and is a significant contributor to our nation's greenhouse gas emissions; and

Whereas, Our current patterns of energy consumption and production are unsustainable, and require considerable modification to remain solvent into the foreseeable future; and

Whereas, Our dependence predominantly on fossil fuels to produce energy imperils both our national security and environmental health; and

Whereas, Earth Hour, a program by which businesses, governments, and private individuals voluntarily forego nonessential electricity use for one hour, provides a global forum through which all concerned parties may express their solidarity and commitment to reducing the impact of global climate change; and

Whereas, Earth Hour underscores the importance of conservation as an integral part of a comprehensive energy policy to achieve sustainability and reduce the environmental impact of energy production and consumption; and

Whereas, An impending global crisis such as that presented by climate change can only adequately be met by an broad-based, cooperative, international effort; and

Whereas, At least 377 cities in over 64 countries and territories have pledged to participate in Earth Hour 2009; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That the members of this legislative body pledge our support for, and encourage Michigan citizens to participate in, Earth Hour 2009.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Great Lakes and Environment.

Announcement by the Clerk of Printing and Enrollment

The Clerk announced that the following bills had been printed and placed upon the files of the members on Thursday, March 12:

House Bill Nos.	4560	4561	4562	4563	4564	4565			
Senate Bill Nos.	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	

The Clerk announced that the following Senate bills had been received on Thursday, March 12:

Senate Bill Nos. 69 151 190 191 201 322

Reports of Standing Committees

The Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, by Rep. Warren, Chair, reported

House Bill No. 4402, entitled

A bill to amend 1978 PA 368, entitled "Public health code," (MCL 333.1101 to 333.25211) by adding part 140 to article 12.

Without amendment and with the recommendation that the bill pass.

The bill was referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Meadows, Roberts, Scripps, Meekhof and Rick Jones

Nays: Reps. Daley, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Warren, Chair, of the Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, March 12, 2009

Present: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Meadows, Roberts, Scripps, Meekhof, Daley, Rick Jones, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

Absent: Rep. Haveman

Excused: Rep. Haveman

The Committee on Banking and Financial Services, by Rep. Coulouris, Chair, reported

House Bill No. 4234, entitled

A bill to amend 1980 PA 299, entitled "Occupational code," by repealing section 2629 (MCL 339.2629).

Without amendment and with the recommendation that the bill pass.

The bill was referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Coulouris, Scripps, Clemente, Mayes, Nathan, Booher, Calley, Kowall and Marleau

Nays: None

The Committee on Banking and Financial Services, by Rep. Coulouris, Chair, reported

House Bill No. 4397, entitled

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "The revised school code," by amending sections 622 and 1223 (MCL 380.622 and 380.1223), as amended by 2008 PA 307.

Without amendment and with the recommendation that the bill pass.

The bill was referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Coulouris, Scripps, Clemente, Mayes, Booher, Calley, Kowall and Marleau

Nays: None

The Committee on Banking and Financial Services, by Rep. Coulouris, Chair, reported

House Bill No. 4398, entitled

A bill to amend 1943 PA 20, entitled "An act relative to the investment of funds of public corporations of the state; and to validate certain investments," by amending section 1 (MCL 129.91), as amended by 2008 PA 308.

Without amendment and with the recommendation that the bill pass.

The bill was referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Coulouris, Scripps, Clemente, Mayes, Booher, Calley, Kowall and Marleau

Nays: None

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Coulouris, Chair, of the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, March 12, 2009

Present: Reps. Coulouris, Scripps, Clemente, Mayes, Nathan, Booher, Calley, Kowall and Marleau

Absent: Rep. Johnson

Excused: Rep. Johnson

The Committee on Education, by Rep. Melton, Chair, reported

House Bill No. 4313, entitled

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "The revised school code," by amending section 1212 (MCL 380.1212), as amended by 2003 PA 299.

With the recommendation that the substitute (H-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

The bill and substitute were referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Melton, Lisa Brown, Bledsoe, Byrum, Corriveau, Geiss, Haase, Kennedy, Lindberg, Nathan, Polidori, Roberts, Sheltroun, Valentine, Ball, Pearce, Paul Scott and Tyler

Nays: Reps. Pavlov, Amash, DeShazor, McMillin and Walsh

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Melton, Chair, of the Committee on Education, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, March 12, 2009

Present: Reps. Melton, Lisa Brown, Bledsoe, Byrum, Corriveau, Geiss, Haase, Kennedy, Lindberg, Nathan, Polidori, Roberts, Sheltroun, Valentine, Pavlov, Amash, Ball, DeShazor, McMillin, Pearce, Paul Scott, Tyler and Walsh

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Byrum, Chair, of the Committee on Insurance, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, March 12, 2009

Present: Reps. Byrum, Young, Constan, Lipton, Neumann, Polidori, Segal, Sheltroun, Womack, Denby, Green, Haveman, Lund, Marleau, Moore and Rocca

Absent: Rep. Lemmons

Excused: Rep. Lemmons

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Byrnes, Chair, of the Committee on Transportation, was received and read:
Meeting held on: Thursday, March 12, 2009

Present: Reps. Byrnes, Kandrevas, Donigan, Geiss, Griffin, Haugh, Leland, Nerat, Roy Schmidt, Young, Opsommer, Bolger, DeShazor, Knollenberg, Pearce, Wayne Schmidt and Paul Scott

Messages from the Senate**Senate Bill No. 69, entitled**

A bill to amend 2007 PA 36, entitled "Michigan business tax act," by amending sections 417 and 441 (MCL 208.1417 and 208.1441).

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Senate Bill No. 151, entitled

A bill to amend 1978 PA 368, entitled "Public health code," by amending sections 16335, 17801, 17820, and 17821 (MCL 333.16335, 333.17801, 333.17820, and 333.17821), section 16335 as added by 1993 PA 80, section 17801 as amended by 1987 PA 213, and sections 17820 and 17821 as amended by 2006 PA 387, and by adding sections 17823, 17824, 17825, 17826, and 17827.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Health Policy.

Senate Bill No. 190, entitled

A bill to amend 2006 PA 480, entitled "Uniform video services local franchise act," by amending section 10 (MCL 484.3310).

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Energy and Technology.

Senate Bill No. 191, entitled

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled "Income tax act of 1967," by amending sections 520 and 522 (MCL 206.520 and 206.522), section 520 as amended by 1995 PA 245 and section 522 as amended by 2000 PA 41.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Senate Bill No. 201, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled "General sales tax act," by amending section 1 (MCL 205.51), as amended by 2008 PA 438.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Senate Bill No. 322, entitled

A bill to make, supplement, and adjust appropriations for certain capital outlay projects for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009; to provide for the expenditure of the appropriations; and to prescribe certain conditions for the appropriations.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

Messages from the Governor

Date: March 11, 2009
Time: 11:47 a.m.

To the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

Sir—I have this day approved and signed

Enrolled House Bill No. 4239 (Public Act No. 1, I.E.), being

An act to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “An act to protect the welfare of the people of this state through the establishment of an unemployment compensation fund, and to provide for the disbursement thereof; to create certain other funds; to create the Michigan employment security commission, and to prescribe its powers and duties; to provide for the protection of the people of this state from the hazards of unemployment; to levy and provide for contributions from employers; to provide for the collection of such contributions; to enter into reciprocal agreements and to cooperate with agencies of the United States and of other states charged with the administration of any unemployment insurance law; to furnish certain information to certain governmental agencies for use in administering public benefit and child support programs and investigating and prosecuting fraud; to provide for the payment of benefits; to provide for appeals from redeterminations, decisions and notices of assessments; and for referees and a board of review to hear and decide the issues arising from redeterminations, decisions and notices of assessment; to provide for the cooperation of this state and compliance with the provisions of the social security act and the Wagner-Peyser act passed by the Congress of the United States of America; to provide for the establishment and maintenance of free public employment offices; to provide for the transfer of funds; to make appropriations for carrying out the provisions of this act; to prescribe remedies and penalties for the violation of the provisions of this act; and to repeal all acts and parts of acts inconsistent with the provisions of this act,” by amending sections 11 and 19a (MCL 421.11 and 421.19a), section 11 as amended by 2005 PA 182 and section 19a as amended by 1983 PA 247.

(Filed with the Secretary of State March 11, 2009, at 1:00 p.m.)

Introduction of Bills

Rep. Opsommer introduced

House Bill No. 4566, entitled

A bill to amend 1972 PA 230, entitled “Stille-DeRossett-Hale single state construction code act,” by amending section 11 (MCL 125.1511).

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Regulatory Reform.

Rep. Moore introduced

House Bill No. 4567, entitled

A bill to amend 1893 PA 206, entitled “The general property tax act,” by amending section 24c (MCL 211.24c), as amended by 2003 PA 247.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Reps. Mayes, Rick Jones, Polidori, Espinoza, Pearce, Opsommer, Stamas, Lori, Walsh, Kowall, Sheltroun, Marleau, Kurtz, Knollenberg, Smith, Miller and Schuitmaker introduced

House Bill No. 4568, entitled

A bill to amend 1931 PA 328, entitled “The Michigan penal code,” by amending section 231a (MCL 750.231a), as amended by 2008 PA 196.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tourism, Outdoor Recreation and Natural Resources.

Reps. Rick Jones, Polidori, Espinoza, Pearce, Opsommer, Stamas, Lori, Walsh, Crawford, Kowall, Sheltroun, Marleau, Knollenberg, Mayes, Smith, Miller and Schuitmaker introduced

House Bill No. 4569, entitled

A bill to amend 1927 PA 372, entitled “An act to regulate and license the selling, purchasing, possessing, and carrying of certain firearms and gas ejecting devices; to prohibit the buying, selling, or carrying of certain firearms and gas ejecting devices without a license or other authorization; to provide for the forfeiture of firearms under certain circumstances; to

provide for penalties and remedies; to provide immunity from civil liability under certain circumstances; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state and local agencies; to prohibit certain conduct against individuals who apply for or receive a license to carry a concealed pistol; to make appropriations; to prescribe certain conditions for the appropriations; and to repeal all acts and parts of acts inconsistent with this act,” by amending section 2 (MCL 28.422), as amended by 2008 PA 406.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tourism, Outdoor Recreation and Natural Resources.

Reps. Rick Jones, Walsh, Lori, Crawford, Kowall, Knollenberg and Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 4570, entitled

A bill to amend 1994 PA 295, entitled “Sex offenders registration act,” by amending section 2 (MCL 28.722), as amended by 2005 PA 301.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Meadows, Lipton and Constan introduced

House Bill No. 4571, entitled

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled “Revised judicature act of 1961,” by amending sections 2169, 2912b, 2912d, 2912e, and 5856 (MCL 600.2169, 600.2912b, 600.2912d, 600.2912e, and 600.5856), sections 2169, 2912d, and 2912e as amended and section 2912b as added by 1993 PA 78 and section 5856 as amended by 2004 PA 87.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Agema, Rick Jones, Walsh, Kowall, Meltzer, Meekhof, Knollenberg, Haines, Rogers, Pearce, Opsommer, Calley, Wayne Schmidt, McMillin, Elsenheimer and Schuitmaker introduced

House Bill No. 4572, entitled

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” (MCL 257.1 to 257.923) by adding section 311b.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Agema, Rick Jones, Walsh, Kowall, Meltzer, Meekhof, Knollenberg, Haines, Rogers, Pearce, Opsommer, Calley, Wayne Schmidt, McMillin, Elsenheimer and Schuitmaker introduced

House Bill No. 4573, entitled

A bill to amend 1931 PA 328, entitled “The Michigan penal code,” (MCL 750.1 to 750.568) by adding section 543l.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Agema, Rick Jones, Walsh, Kowall, Meltzer, Meekhof, Knollenberg, Haines, Rogers, Pearce, Opsommer, Calley, Wayne Schmidt, McMillin, Elsenheimer and Schuitmaker introduced

House Bill No. 4574, entitled

A bill to amend 1927 PA 175, entitled “The code of criminal procedure,” by amending section 16bb of chapter XVII (MCL 777.16bb), as added by 2007 PA 20.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Ebli, Miller, Roberts, Kennedy, Valentine and Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 4575, entitled

A bill to amend 1972 PA 230, entitled “Stille-DeRossett-Hale single state construction code act,” by amending sections 1, 4, and 8a (MCL 125.1501, 125.1504, and 125.1508a), section 1 as amended and section 8a as added by 1999 PA 245 and section 4 as amended by 2004 PA 584.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Regulatory Reform.

Reps. Barnett and Johnson introduced

House Bill No. 4576, entitled

A bill to amend 2006 PA 480, entitled “Uniform video services local franchise act,” by amending section 6 (MCL 484.3306).

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Energy and Technology.

Reps. Warren, Gregory, Smith, Bauer and Polidori introduced

House Bill No. 4577, entitled

A bill to amend 1980 PA 300, entitled "The public school employees retirement act of 1979," by amending section 104a (MCL 38.1404a), as amended by 1998 PA 213.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Labor.

Reps. Warren, Gregory, Scripps, Smith, Bauer and Polidori introduced

House Bill No. 4578, entitled

A bill to amend 1998 PA 386, entitled "Estates and protected individuals code," by amending sections 3206, 3207, 3208, 3209, and 3614 (MCL 700.3206, 700.3207, 700.3208, 700.3209, and 700.3614), section 3206 as amended by 2008 PA 41 and sections 3207, 3208, and 3209 as added and section 3614 as amended by 2006 PA 299.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Reps. Warren, Leland, Hansen, Terry Brown, Scripps, Lipton, Liss, Young, Tlaib, Durhal, Meadows and Miller introduced

House Bill No. 4579, entitled

A bill to amend 1994 PA 451, entitled "Natural resources and environmental protection act," by amending section 41301 (MCL 324.41301), as amended by 2005 PA 77.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Great Lakes and Environment.

Reps. Byrnes, Cushingberry, Melton, Paul Scott, Rick Jones, Warren, Scripps, Schuitmaker, Miller, Switalski, Geiss, Kennedy, Liss, Bauer, Lisa Brown, Neumann, Barnett, Haase, Mayes, Roberts, Bledsoe, Valentine, Donigan, Polidori, Lipton, Gregory, Meadows, Gonzales, Johnson and Lindberg introduced

House Bill No. 4580, entitled

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "The revised school code," (MCL 380.1 to 380.1852) by adding section 1310b.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Education.

Reps. Barnett and Opsommer introduced

House Bill No. 4581, entitled

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled "Income tax act of 1967," by amending sections 520 and 522 (MCL 206.520 and 206.522), section 520 as amended by 1995 PA 245 and section 522 as amended by 2000 PA 41.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Reps. Gonzales, Cushingberry and Switalski introduced

House Bill No. 4582, entitled

A bill to make, supplement, and adjust appropriations for various state departments and agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009; and to provide for the expenditure of the appropriations.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

Reps. Meadows and Lipton introduced

House Joint Resolution P, entitled

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the state constitution of 1963, by adding section 31 to article VI, to clarify the circumstances under which a justice of the supreme court must disqualify himself or herself.

The joint resolution was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Rep. Scripps moved that the House adjourn.

The motion prevailed, the time being 3:00 p.m.

The Speaker Pro Tempore declared the House adjourned until Tuesday, March 17, at 1:30 p.m.

RICHARD J. BROWN
Clerk of the House of Representatives

