



**House
Legislative
Analysis
Section**

Washington Square Building, Suite 1025
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Phone: 517/373-6466

TAX INCREMENT FINANCE AMENDMENTS

**Senate Bill 329 as passed by the Senate
First Analysis (5-25-89)**

**Sponsor: Sen. John J.H. Schwarz
Senate Committee: Finance
House Committee: Taxation**

RECEIVED

JUN 08 1989

Mich. State Law Library

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

Michigan communities may implement tax increment financing plans under three different public acts: Public Act 197 of 1975, the downtown development authority act; Public Act 450 of 1980, the Tax Increment Finance Authority Act; and Public Act 281 of 1986, the Local Development Financing Act. In 1988, the downtown development act and the Tax Increment Finance Authority Act were amended to make the acts more consistent with each other regarding how tax increment financing (TIF) plans are set up. The downtown development authority (DDA) act, in particular, had provisions inconsistent with the other acts, and reportedly allowed some governments using the plan to "capture" certain tax increments which the state ultimately paid for. Some tax increment finance plans, for example, would exclude all millage except in-formula school district millage. Since the cost of capturing taxes of an in-formula district is borne by the state school aid fund, while the capture of other taxes is borne by the affected local governmental unit, the entire plan was then subsidized by the state. Last year's legislation redefined "initial" and "captured" assessed value, and rewrote other parts of the act, in order to stop reported abuses. That legislation, now in effect, consequently reduces the amount by which the state subsidizes TIF plans by a significant amount, which puts a burden on municipalities to make up the difference. A request has been made that the limitations put on the capture of school millages be phased-in over a three year period to help some municipalities better adjust to the 1988 changes, and to exclude counties from the limitation altogether, since, unlike cities, they have no voice in the establishment of the plans.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

Under the 1988 amendments to the Tax Increment Finance Authority Act, the percentage of school operating taxes that may be "captured" and used by a tax increment financing plan may not be greater than the percentage of city, county, township or village operating taxes captured and used by the plan. The bill would specify that the limitation would not apply to the portion of captured assessed value shared with a county under an agreement entered into before 1989. Further, if a portion of captured assessed value was shared with a city, township, or village in 1988, the bill would provide for a phase-in of the limitation for tax years 1989 through 1991. The allowable amount of captured assessed value that could be shared with a local government would be the greater of either: the current limit imposed under the act, or 100 percent of the amount shared in 1988, for tax year 1989; either the current limit or 2/3 of the 1988 amount, for tax year 1990; and for tax year 1991, either the current limit or 1/3 of the 1988 amount.

MCL 125.1813

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Senate Bill 329 would amend the Tax Increment Finance Authority in the same manner that House Bill 4629, which passed the House earlier this month, would amend the downtown development act.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

The Department of Treasury has said of House Bill 4629, which provides an identical amendment to the downtown development authority act, that the bill would have no direct fiscal impact. If, after the bill were enacted, TIF plans capture less in property taxes, then there would be no fiscal impact. If, on the other hand, existing plans use the same amount over a longer period of time, there would be a delay in savings to the state from the 1988 act. (5-4-89) The sponsor of Senate Bill 329 has said that Calhoun County would lose \$900,000 over three years if the bill is not enacted. (5-24-89)

ARGUMENTS:

For:

The bill would help municipalities better adjust to recent reforms in laws governing tax increment financing plans by phasing in the limitations instituted last year over a three-year period, thus reducing losses they would otherwise suffer. Before 1988 the state was subsidizing a disproportionate share of TIF plans for some municipalities. Further, the bill recognizes the fact that counties tend to lose more than they gain under TIF plans and would make the act's limitation for counties apply in a more equitable fashion.

POSITIONS:

The Michigan Association of Counties supports the bill. (5-24-89)

The Michigan Municipal League supports the bill. (5-24-89)