



**House  
Legislative  
Analysis  
Section**

Manufacturer's Bank Building, 12th Floor  
Lansing, Michigan 48909  
Phone: 517/373-6466

**SENIOR CARE RESPITE FUND**

**Senate Bill 372 (Substitute H-1) 1 2 1990**  
**Senate Bill 374 Substitute (H-1)**  
**First Analysis (6-5-90)**  
**Sponsor: Sen. Frederick Dillingham**

**Senate Committee: Human Resources and Senior  
Citizens**  
**House Committee: Senior Citizens and Retirement**

***THE APPARENT PROBLEM:***

According to estimates, there are more than one million Michigan residents aged 60 or older, five percent of whom will require long-term care at some point in the future. While many of these individuals will become disabled and will end up in nursing homes, there is a growing consensus among health care professionals that it is important to keep disabled senior citizens out of institutions. Those seniors who remain in their own homes, or with relatives, live longer and remain more active. It is also generally agreed that taking care of a disabled elderly relative places considerable stress on families and that these caregivers need relief from time to time. As a result, local community services agencies across the state have worked toward developing programs to provide day care services, where a patient may stay for a day, and respite care services, where a patient may spend several days, when, for example, his or her caregiver is on vacation. However, the process of generating funds for such programs has been slow; while a few areas of the state provide some type of service, most have waiting lists, or can only provide limited services, due to a shortage of funds or a lack of volunteers. It has been pointed out that money that currently reverts to the general fund from uncashed health benefit checks could be redirected into a special respite care fund. Currently, benefits paid by health care corporations to Michigan subscribers or providers escheat to the state's general fund if they are not cashed within the seven year "period of dormancy" that is specified in the Michigan Code of Escheats. In the last several years, these escheats have ranged from \$371,000 to \$671,000 annually.

***THE CONTENT OF THE BILLS:***

The bills would create the Senior Care Respite Fund to provide day care to older citizens and to require that uncashed benefit checks paid by nonprofit health care corporations — as well as gifts, donations, and money given to the fund from any other source — escheat to the state.

Senate Bill 372 (H-1) would amend the Older Michiganians Act (MCL 400.589 et al.) to create the Senior Care Respite Fund within the Department of Treasury, to be administered by the Office of Services to the Aging. Money that descended to the state as an escheat, under the Nonprofit Health Care Corporation Reform Act; gifts or donations to the fund; and money received from any other source would be credited to the fund. Under the bill, the Office of Services to the Aging would be required to distribute money in the fund annually to area agencies on aging. Each area agency on aging would receive a minimum of \$25,000, with remaining funds distributed according to a formula developed by the office under the Administrative Procedures Act, or according to the terms and conditions

of the donor. Up to one percent of the fund could be used for the administrative costs of the office. Balances in the fund at the end of any fiscal year would be carried over and would not revert to the general fund. Area agencies on aging, designated by the Commission on Services to the Aging, would use the funds to provide day care for older persons, or other types of respite services for persons providing care to older persons. The area agency could develop new programs, fund existing programs, or design respite programs, and would award the distributed funds by grant or contract to community agencies and organizations, except where a waiver allowing direct service delivery was granted by the Office of Services to the Aging.

Senate Bill 374 (H-1) would amend the Nonprofit Health Care Corporation Reform Act (MCL 550.1403a). Under the bill, benefits paid by a health care corporation to a subscriber or provider by way of a check or other written instrument that were not cashed within the period of dormancy, as defined in the Michigan Code of Escheats, would escheat to the state.

The bills are tie-barred to each other and to House Bill 5067, which would amend the Michigan Code of Escheats to include under its definition of "property" uncashed checks or other similar written instruments that are written for benefits paid by a health care corporation to a subscriber or provider, and that escheat to the state. Under the bill, property that descended to the state as an escheat would be delivered to the Department of Treasury, rather than the Board of Escheats, to be deposited into the general fund. Property that escheated to the state in the form of unpaid checks paid by health care corporations to subscribers or providers would be transferred by the Department of Treasury as follows:

- 10 percent to the general fund, to be held for the benefit of persons entitled to redemption;
- 90 percent to the Senior Care Respite Fund.

***FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:***

According to the House Fiscal Agency, the bills would result in a small, indeterminate, revenue gain from the escheat to the state of nonprofit health care corporation payments in the form of uncashed checks. (6-5-90)

***ARGUMENTS:***

***For:***

It has often been pointed out in recent years that individuals who are allowed to spend their later years in their homes, or with family members, remain more active and alert than those who are confined to nursing homes. At the same time, it is more economical to provide one month of respite care

in a senior care respite and day care center than to provide one month of care in a nursing home. Yet caregivers for the elderly are under considerable stress, and need the services such as this bill would provide. Although funds for respite and day care have not been one of society's priorities to date, the bill could be a start in the right direction.

***Against:***

The money that escheats to the state from uncashed health care benefit checks varies too much (\$371,000 to \$671,000) from one year to another to provide a consistent level of funding. Programs that were initiated in one year might have to be cancelled in lean years. Senior citizens might be better off if the money were instead returned to the health care corporations and used to lower the premium rates.

***POSITIONS:***

The Department of Social Services supports the bills. (6-4-90)

The Office of Services to the Aging in the Department of Management and Budget supports the bills. (6-4-90)

The Michigan Coalition of Aging Organizations supports the bills. (6-4-90)

The Michigan Women's Commission supports the bills. (6-5-90)

Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Michigan supports the bills. (6-5-90)

The Michigan Community Action Aging Association supports the bills. (6-5-90)