

Manufacturer's Bank Building, 12th Floor Lansing, Michigan 48909 Phone: 517/373-6466

THE APPARENT PROBLEM:

The School Code specifies that a school district which provides transportation for its resident pupils must provide transportation for each resident pupil "in the elementary and secondary grades" for whom the district is eligible to receive school aid for transportation. This provision was cited in a recent court case involving the Oak Park Public School District where parents with children in grades 9-12 sued the district because it provides transportation only for students in grades K-8. The presiding judge interpreted the legislative intent of this portion of the act as mandating that a district either "not provide transportation for its resident pupils or . . . provide transportation for each of its elementary and secondary resident pupils." Some people feel this opinion not only overlooked the intent of the act, which, they argue, is (and has been) to allow a school district latitude in determining just what type of transportation services to provide to its district residents, but also established an all-or-nothing situation for some districts that do not have the financial resources to bus all students. Further, the provision cited in the opinion, some feel, was misapplied as this portion of the act deals specifically with a district's responsibility to bus non-public school resident pupils in the same grade level as public school pupils provided transportation services. Consequently, some feel legislation is needed to clarify the act's intent regarding a school district's responsibility in providing transportation to its resident pupils.

THE CONTENT OF THE BILL:

The bill would amend the School Code to clarify that a school district that provided transportation to its resident pupils (except for handicapped pupils, who are dealt with in another portion of the act) would have to provide transportation for each resident public or nonpublic school pupil if 1) the district provided transportation for the elementary, middle or junior high school, or high school level, as defined by the local school board, in which the pupil was enrolled, 2) the pupil was a person for whom the district was eligible to receive state school ald for transportation, and 3) the pupil was attending either the public or nearest state approved nonpublic school in the pupil's eligible public school district.

MCL 380.1321

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

According to the Department of Education, the bill would not affect state expenditures but could have fiscal impact to local schools. The ruling in the Oak Park court case could cause some districts to discontinue similar busing programs (or else offer transportation to both elementary and high

SCHOOL DISTRICT PUPIL TRANSPORTATION RECEIVED

House Bill 5577 as enrolled Second Analysis (7-9-90)

OCT 08 1990

Sponsor: Rep. William R. Keith House Committee: Education

Mich. State Law Library

Senate Committee: Education & Mental Health

school pupils) although it could not be determined how many districts might be affected. As the bill would protect these districts from having to make this decision, it's fiscal impact would depend on each district's specific circumstances. (5-16-90)

ARGUMENTS:

For:

The bill would clarify that portion of the code which deals with a school district's responsibility to provide transportation to all resident pupils of the same grade level (including non-public school students) when transportation is provided at all. This provision was cited in a recent circuit court case involving the Oak Park School District regarding whether the district should be required to offer transportation to all students in the district and not just to those in grades K-8. Included within the ruling judge's opinion was a statement explaining the responsibility of the court to "ascertain the intent of the legislature giving the words used their ordinary and normally accepted meanings;" the opinion concluded that the act "must be enforced as written." Despite the historical record, in which school districts have been allowed to decide whether or not to even provide transportation, and if so to which grades, the judge consequently ruled that the act requires either that Oak Park not provide transportation for its resident pupils at all or provide it to all resident pupils at both the elementary and secondary grade levels. By clarifying the intent of this portion of the act, the bill could prevent an unfortunate situation in which certain poorer districts, as a result of the ruling, may have to choose either to provide transportation services for all grades or none.