ABSENT VOTER BALLOT APPLICATION VIOLATIONS
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Complete to 9-22-20

SUMMARY:

House Bill 5880 would amend Chapter 28 (Holding of Elections) of the Election Code.

Under the bill, a person who knowingly filled out and submitted or caused to be submitted an absent voter (AV) ballot application containing or using another person’s name and personal ID information, except as otherwise expressly authorized by law, would be guilty of a felony.

Currently and under HB 5880, the following people may be in possession of a signed AV ballot application:
- The applicant.
- A member of the applicant’s immediate family.
- A person residing in the applicant’s household.
- A mail carrier in the course of his or her employment.
- A registered elector requested by the applicant to return the application (who has signed the included certificate that indicates that he or she has not solicited the application, did not alter it, and is aware of the penalties for violation of election law.)
- A clerk or other authorized election official.

The bill would additionally make it a felony for a person to knowingly fill out and submit or cause to be submitted an AV voter ballot application with the intent to obtain multiple AV ballots for a person.

Finally, under current law it is a misdemeanor for a person to make a false statement in an AV ballot application and a felony for a person to submit a forged signature on an absentee ballot application.

The bill would remove these provisions and instead make it a felony to knowingly submit an AV ballot application containing false information or a forged signature.

Under the Election Law, a felony for which no other penalty is provided is punishable by imprisonment for up to five years or a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

MCL 168.759
House Bill 5881 would amend the Code of Criminal Procedure to classify all three violations as Class E felony offenses against the public trust, punishable by a statutory maximum of five years’ imprisonment.

MCL 777.11d

The bills are tie-barred together, which means that neither could take effect unless both were enacted.

FISCAL IMPACT:

House Bill 5880 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the state and on local units of government. Under the bill, a person who knowingly submits an absent voter ballot application using another person’s name and personal identification, a person who knowingly submits an absent voter ballot application with intent to obtain multiple absent voter ballots, or a person who knowingly submits an AV ballot application containing false information or a forged signature would be guilty of a felony. The number of convictions that would result under the bill is not known. New felony convictions would result in increased costs related to state prisons and state probation supervision. In fiscal year 2019, the average cost of prison incarceration in a state facility was roughly $39,400 per prisoner, a figure that includes various fixed administrative and operational costs. State costs for parole and felony probation supervision averaged about $3,800 per supervised offender in the same year. Those costs are financed with state general fund/general purpose revenue. The fiscal impact on local court systems would depend on how provisions of the bill affected court caseloads and related administrative costs. Any increase in penal fine revenue would increase funding for public and county law libraries, which are the constitutionally designated recipients of those revenues.

House Bill 5881 is a companion bill to House Bill 5880 and amends sentencing guidelines to include the offenses of knowingly submitting an absent voter ballot application using another person’s name, knowingly submitting an absent voter ballot application with intent to obtain multiple absent voter ballots, and knowingly submitting an AV ballot application containing false information or a forged signature. The bill would not have a direct fiscal impact on the state or on local units of government.

POSITIONS:

A representative of the Department of State testified in support of the bills. (9-2-20)

The Michigan Association of Municipal Clerks indicated support for the bills. (9-9-20)
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