

**Department of State Police
Criminal Justice Information Center**

The Criminal Justice Information Center (CJIC) is responsible for the following rule set(s):

- Criminal Justice Information Systems, R 28.5101 – R 28.5414

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

CJIC has not identified any rules to be processed during the plan period.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

CJIC has not identified any rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be rescinded during the plan period.

CJIC has identified its rule set as being important to the mission and functions of the agency and has not identified any rule as a strong candidate for rescission.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

CJIC has exercised all mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority with respect to the Criminal Justice Information Systems rule set. CJIC identified rules for action in the Criminal Justice Information Systems rule set 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan (ARP) which were completed. The rules were filed with the Secretary of State and effective on March 19, 2021.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

CJIC has not identified any rules that are problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

CJIC has determined there is a continued need for the rules.

B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

CJIC has not received any complaints or comments from the public concerning the rules.

C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

CJIC has determined the rules are not complex to comply with given the subject matter of the rules and the individuals and agencies to which the rules apply.

D. Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

CJIC is not aware of a conflict with or duplication of similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

- E. The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

CJIC rules were last evaluated in June 2020. Technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation

5. Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled “Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)” which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police’s administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

6. Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

CJIC identified rules for action in the 2020-2021 ARP that were completed. There are no outstanding rules for action. The following revisions were made, and the rules were filed with the Secretary of State on March 19, 2021:

R 28.5101 – R 28.5414, specifically R 28.5101 “*Definitions*,” R 28.5201 “*Agency Authorization for CJIS and CJI Access*,” R 28.5202 “*CJIS or CJI access; deny, limit, or terminate*,” R 28.5208 “*CJIS and CJI access and dissemination*,” R 28.5209 “*Transaction Logs; release*,” R 28.5401 “*Records responsibility*,” R 28.5402 “*Timely entry and removal of records*,” and R 28.5414 “*Fees for access to information from CJIS*” were amended, R 28.5102 “*Noncriminal justice agency access to certain CJI for noncriminal justice purposes*,” R 28.5210 “*Department release of CJI for certain research, statistical, or governmental projects*,” and R 28.5211 “*Dissemination of CJI for certain mass casualty, catastrophic, or unforeseen events*” were added, and R 28.5404 was rescinded.

There are no items that are the subject of an Advisory Rules Committee recommendation.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

**Department of State Police
Forensic Science Division**

The Forensic Science Division (FSD) is responsible for the following rule set(s):

- Alcohol and Drug Testing of Biological and Nonbiological Specimens, R 325.2671 – 325.2677

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

FSD has not identified any rules to be processed during the plan period.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

FSD has not identified any rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be rescinded during the plan period.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

FSD has exercised all its mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

FSD has not identified any rules that are problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

FSD has determined there is a continued need for the rules.

B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

FSD has not received complaints or comments from the public during this plan period regarding the rule set.

C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

The rule set is clear and concise as evidenced by the lack of complaints or comments from the public.

D. Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

FSD is not aware of any conflicting or duplicate rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

E. The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

FSD rules were last evaluated in June 2020. Technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

5. Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled “Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)” which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police’s administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

6. Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

FSD did not identify any items for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan.

**Department of State Police
Biometrics and Identification Division**

The Biometrics and Identification Division (BID) is responsible for the following rule set(s):

- DNA Profiling System rule set, R 28.5051 – 28.5059, which is listed on the MOAHR's web site under the Forensic Science Division.

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

BID has not identified any rules to be processed during the plan period.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

BID has not identified any rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be rescinded during the plan period.

BID has identified that its rule set is important to the mission and functions of the department and has not identified it as a strong candidate for rescission.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

BID has exercised all its mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

BID has not identified any rules that are problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

- A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

BID has determined there is a continued need for the rules.

- B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

BID has not received any complaints or comments from the public concerning the rules.

- C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

BID has determined the rules are not complex to comply with given the subject matter of the rules and the individuals and agencies to which the rules apply.

- D. Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

BID is not aware of a conflict with or duplication of similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

- E. The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

BID rules were last evaluated in June 2020. Technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

5. Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled “Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)” which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police’s administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

6. Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

BID did not identify any items for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan.

**Department of State Police
Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards**

The Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards (MCOLES) is responsible for the following rule set(s):

- Justice Training Fund Programs, R 18.14901 - 18.14910
- Law Enforcement Standards and Training, R 28.14101 - 28.14702
- Public Safety Officers Benefit Program, R 28.14951 - 28.14966

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

MCOLES has not identified any rules to be processed during the plan period.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

MCOLES has identified all its rule sets as being important to the mission, function, and statutory mandates of MCOLES.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

A review of the existing basic training rules R 28.14301 through 28.14321 established that this rule set already governs the basic training requirement mandated by MCL 28.609e(1).

MCOLES has not promulgated new rules mandated by MCL 28.609e(2) establishing the minimum standards for the active violence in-service response training mandated by MCL 28.609e(3). The funding necessary to undertake the in-service requirements of this amendment (MCL 28.609e(3)) was not appropriated until December of 2019 and the staffing required was not approved for selection until February 2020. The selection process was underway when Executive Directive 2020-04 was issued on March 30, 2020 freezing all state hiring. In addition, the issuance of Executive Directive 2020-03 resulted in the Michigan State Police Budget Office, acting in accordance with direction from the State Budget Office, froze MCOLES' access to the funds appropriated for staffing and completion of this mandate. Executive Directive 2020-03 was only recently rescinded by Executive 2021-03 on June 14, 2021. Therefore, the prerequisite steps necessary for rule promulgation could not be conducted.

MCOLES has not failed to utilize any statutorily required rules.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

No rules problematic to industry have been identified.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

All current rule sets are essential for performance of statutory functions.

B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

No complaints have been received.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

The rules delineate the exact process and requirements each entity or officer must meet.

D. Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

MCOLES is not aware of any conflicting or duplicate rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

E. The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

MCOLES Rules were last evaluated in June 2020.

The funding necessary to undertake the in-service requirements of MCL 28.609e(3) was not appropriated until December of 2019 and the staffing required was not approved for selection until February 2020. The selection process was underway when Executive Directive 2020-04 was issued on March 30, 2020 freezing all state hiring. In addition, the issuance of Executive Directive 2020-03 resulted in the Michigan State Police Budget Office, acting in accordance with direction from the State Budget Office, froze MCOLES' access to the funds appropriated for staffing and completion of this mandate. Executive Directive 2020-03 was only recently rescinded by Executive 2021-03 on June 14, 2021. As a result, the prerequisite process to establish the parameters for rule promulgation could not be conducted in the last plan year and the ability to resume and complete that process during the next plan year remains uncertain.

5. Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled "Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)" which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police's administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

6. Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

The Law Enforcement Standards and Training rule set, R 28.14101 through 28.14702, was identified for action by MCOLES in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan, and necessary revisions were identified. Although review of the existing basic training rules established that this rule set already governs the mandated basic training requirement mandated by MCL 28.609e(1), action is still required to address the in-service requirements of MCL 28.609e(3). However, as discussed in item 4.E. above, the funding necessary to undertake the in-service requirements of MCL 28.609e(3) was frozen. Therefore, the prerequisite process to establish the parameters for rule promulgation could not be conducted.

The Public Safety Officers Benefit Program rule set, R 28.14951 through 28.14966, was identified for action by MCOLES in the 2019-2020 Annual Regulatory Plan and necessary revisions were identified. However, after further review MCOLES identified the need for legislative changes to the underlying statute that must occur before the rulemaking process can proceed. The necessary statutory changes are being sought.

**Department of State Police
Training Division**

The Training Division is responsible for the following rule sets listed under MOAHR's website as Traffic Safety Division:

- Safety Belts and Restraining Devices, R 28.901 – 28.911
- Standards, Rules and Regulations for Crash Helmets, R 28.951 – 28.961
- Uniform Traffic Code for Cities, Townships, and Villages, R 28.1001 – 28.2075
- Drunk Driving Prevention Equipment and Training Fund, R 257.991 – 257.996
- Tests for Breath Alcohol, R 325.2651 – 325.2659

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

The Training Division intends to process revisions to the Tests for Breath Alcohol rule set, R 325.2651 – 325.2659 to make revisions that will separate preliminary breath testing rules and evidential breath testing rules, eliminate elevation correction factors and replace with barometric pressure for evidential breath testing, amend certain training requirements for operators, amend the subject observation period rules, amend and move certain evidential inspection and maintenance rules to a newly created MSP Breath Instrument Guidelines resource maintained by the Forensic Science Division in coordination with the Training Division, and make amendments to the table of operators to accurately reflect each class of operator.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

The Training Division has determined some rules regarding inspection and maintenance of evidential testing instruments will be simplified and the Administrative Rules will be amended to establish that a newly created MSP Breath Instrument Guidelines resource will prescribe specific inspection, testing, and maintenance procedures.

The Training Division has identified all its rule sets as being important to the mission and functions of the department and has not identified any of these rules as strong candidates for rescission.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

The Training Division has exercised all its mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

The Training Division has identified Rule 325.2653 Rule 3(1)(b) should be amended to remove the topographic elevation correction factor for evidential instruments and replace it with the barometric pressure for evidential breath testing.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

The Training Division has determined there is a continuing need for these rules.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

- B.** A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

The Training Division has not received any complaints or comments from the public concerning the rules during this plan period.

- C.** The complexity of complying with the rules.

The Training Division has determined there is no complexity of complying with the rules.

- D.** Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

The rules do not conflict with or duplicate rules adopted by the federal government or local governments.

- E.** The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

The rules were evaluated in June 2020. Technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation.

- 5.** Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled “Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)” which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police’s administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

- 6.** Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

The Training Division identified the Tests for Breath Alcohol rule set, R 325.2651 – 325.2659 for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan that have not been completed and remain outstanding as described in Paragraph 1 above.

**Department of State Police
Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Division**

The Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Division (CVED) is responsible for the following rule sets listed on the MOAHR's website under the Traffic Safety Division:

- Inspection of Nonpublic Motor Vehicles, R 257.951 – 257.955
- Motor Carriers, R 460.18101 – 460.19301

1. Rule(s) to be **processed** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]

CVED has not identified any rules to be processed during the plan period.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded** between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency, or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.

The CVED has determined the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set, R 257.951 – 257.955, is the least important to the mission and functions of the MSP and should be rescinded; however, rescission is not possible at this time because the rules are mandated by MCL 257.715a.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or** failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.

The CVED has exercised all mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority with respect to the Motor Carriers rule set.

The CVED has not exercised any mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority with respect to the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

The Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set is problematic to industry because it requires duplicative inspections; however, the rule has been reviewed and cannot be made more business-friendly based on current statute.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

The CVED has determined there is a continued need for the Motor Carriers rules set.

The CVED has determined that there is not a continued need for the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set; however, as noted above, the rules are required by statute.

B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

The CVED has not received any complaints or comments from the public concerning the Motor Carriers rule set.

The Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set is problematic to industry because it requires duplicative inspections.

C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

CVED has determined the Motor Carriers rule set is not complex to comply with given the subject matter of the rules and the individuals and agencies to which the rules apply.

The Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set establishes safety equipment and inspection requirements for school buses, buses, and other motor vehicles with a manufacturer's rated seating capacity of 12 or more, which are owned, leased or used by a non-public school, religious organization, nonprofit youth organization, nonprofit rehabilitation facility, or senior center for the transportation of passengers. The authority for the rule set is contained in the Michigan Vehicle Code, 1949 PA 300, MCL 257.715a. These rules duplicate federal regulation 49 CFR 396.17 that was adopted in the Motor Carrier Safety Act, 1963 PA 181, MCL 480.11a; however, the federal regulation exceeds Michigan requirements in MCL 257.715a and the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set. Therefore, this results in owners and lessees of these vehicles having to obtain two inspections of their vehicles; one that satisfies the Michigan requirements of MCL 257.715a and the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set and the other that satisfies 49 CFR 396.17 as adopted by Michigan in MCL 480.11a. Additionally, the Legislature has not provided funding for non-public motor vehicle inspections since 1985.

D. Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

The Motor Carriers rule set does not conflict with or duplicate rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

The Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set duplicates and conflicts with federal regulations as detailed in 4.C. above.

E. The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

The Motor Carriers rule set was last evaluated in June 2020, and the CVED has determined technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the Motor Carriers rule set since the last evaluation.

The Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set was last evaluated in June 2020. The CVED has determined technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed regulatory activity covered by the Inspection of Non-public Motor Vehicles rule set since the last evaluation.

5. Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled "Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)" which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police's administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

6. Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

CVED did not identify any items for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan.

**Department of State Police
Emergency Management and Homeland Security Division**

The Emergency Management and Homeland Security Division (EMHSD) is responsible for the following rule set(s):

- Emergency Management Training, R 30.31 – 30.34
- State Assistance to Counties and Municipalities, R 30.51 – 30.61

1. Rule(s) to be **processed between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. [Give brief description.]**

EMHSD intends to process revisions to the State Assistance to Counties and Municipalities rule set, R 30.51 through 30.61, to provide clarity to counties and municipalities in the application of the rules.

2. Rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be **rescinded between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022. Also, please identify the rules or rule sets that are least important to the mission and function of the agency or are otherwise strong candidates for rescission.**

EMHSD has not identified any rules that are obsolete or superseded and can be rescinded during the plan period.

EMHSD has identified the rules set as being important to the mission and functions of the department and has not identified any rules that are strong candidates for rescission.

3. Has the agency failed to promulgate any statutorily required rules **or failed to utilize any statutorily required rules? Please explain.**

EMHSD has exercised all its mandatory/statutory rulemaking authority.

4. Please indicate the rules that are most problematic to industry and could be reviewed to determine the most business-friendly method of regulation.

R 30.51 through 30.61 are being reviewed to provide greater clarity to counties and municipalities.

A. Whether there is a continued need for the rules.

EMHSD has determined there is a continued need for the rules.

B. A summary of any complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rules.

EMHSD has received complaints from counties and municipalities relating to the clarity and conciseness of the language for application during disasters or emergencies.

C. The complexity of complying with the rules.

EMHSD has determined there is a need to review the current language and provide a more concise direction in the application of these rules for counties and municipalities during disasters or emergencies.

Annual Regulatory Plan 2021-2022

- D.** Whether the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules or regulations adopted by the federal government or local units of government.

The rules do not conflict with or duplicate rules adopted by the federal government or local governments, but instead complement existing federal disaster relief programs for public damage costs by providing a back-up source of assistance when federal public assistance funding is denied or otherwise unavailable.

- E.** The date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed regulatory activity covered by the rules.

The rules were last evaluated in June 2020. Technology, economic conditions, and other factors have not changed the regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation.

- 5.** Please provide the URL link the department or bureau is currently using to display their administrative rules.

The Michigan Department of State Police [website](#) has a link titled “Michigan Department of State Police Administrative Code (Rules)” which takes you directly to the [website](#) of the MOAHR containing the Michigan Department of State Police’s administrative rules.

http://www.michigan.gov/msp/0,4643,7-123-1579_1654_1665---,00.html

- 6.** Please provide a list of the items identified for action in the 2021 ARP that have been completed and those that remain outstanding.

EMHSD specifically identified R 30.58 of the State Assistance to Counties and Municipalities rule set as an item for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan to change the maximum dollar amount for assistance grants to be consistent with MCL 30.419, as amended by 2018 PA 264. Additionally, EMHSD also identified R 30.51 through 30.61 as being in need of action to provide clarity to counties and municipalities in the application of the rules. The proposed revisions to this ruleset were largely based on input received from counties and municipalities regarding alleged confusion in the interpretation of the existing rules. While a workgroup was established and meetings were held, the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic and other incidents redirected EMHSD staffing resources to necessary response activities and away from the intended rulemaking process. This item remains outstanding and will be addressed during the next reporting period.

EMHSD did not identify any other items for action in the 2020-2021 Annual Regulatory Plan.