

**Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules**  
**Administrative Rules Division (ARD)**

MOAHR-Rules@michigan.gov

**REQUEST FOR RULEMAKING (RFR)**

**1. Department:**

State Police

**2. Bureau:**

Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards (MCOLES)

**3. Promulgation type:**

Full Process

**4. Title of proposed rule set:**

Law Enforcement Standards and Training

**5. Rule numbers or rule set range of numbers:**

R 28.14101 - R 28.14702

**6. Estimated time frame:**

12 months

**Name of person filling out RFR:**

Joseph Kempa

**E-mail of person filling out RFR:**

KempaJ@michigan.gov

**Phone number of person filling out RFR:**

517-898-4219

**Address of person filling out RFR:**

927 Centennial Way, Lansing, MI 48917

**7. Describe the general purpose of these rules, including any problems the changes are intended to address.**

The general purpose of this proposed amendment to R 28.14210 is to set minimum standards for active violence response training.

**8. Please cite the specific promulgation authority for the rules (i.e. department director, commission, board, etc.).**

The Commission is required to promulgate this rule under MCL 28.609e(2) of the Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards Act, as amended.

**A. Please list all applicable statutory references (MCLs, Executive Orders, etc.).**

The Commission's general authority to promulgate minimum in-service training standards is found in MCL 28.609, MCL 16.109 and MCL 28.621. The specific requirement to additionally promulgate rules to set the minimum standards for active violence response training is found in MCL 28.609e(2) of the Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards Act, as amended.

**B. Are the rules mandated by any applicable constitutional or statutory provision? If so, please explain.**

The Commission is required promulgate this rule under MCL 28.609e(2) of the Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards Act, as amended, to set the minimum standards for the active violence response training.

**9. Please describe the extent to which the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules, compliance requirements, or other standards adopted at the state, regional, or federal level.**

The rules do not conflict with or duplicate other rules or regulations at the state, regional, or federal level.

**10. Is the subject matter of the rules currently contained in any guideline, handbook, manual, instructional bulletin, form with instructions, or operational memoranda?**

The subject matter of these rules is not contained in any guideline, handbook, manual, instructional bulletin, form with instructions, or operational memoranda.

**11. Are the rules listed on the department's annual regulatory plan as rules to be processed for the current year?**

Yes, this rule is on the department's annual regulatory plan.

**12. Will the proposed rules be promulgated under Section 44 of the Administrative Procedures Act, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.244, or under the full rulemaking process?**

Full Process

**13. Please describe the extent to which the rules exceed similar regulations, compliance requirements, or other standards adopted at the state, regional, or federal level.**

This rule does not exceed similar regulations, compliance requirements, or other standards adopted at the state, regional, or federal level.

**14. Do the rules incorporate the recommendations received from the public regarding any complaints or comments regarding the rules? If yes, please explain.**

At this point, no recommendations have been received from the public about these rules.

**15. If amending an existing rule set, please provide the date of the last evaluation of the rules and the degree, if any, to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed the regulatory activity covered by the rules since the last evaluation.**

This ruleset was last evaluated by the Commission as part of the department's annual regulatory plan submitted on June 26, 2024. The Commission consistently evaluates its rules and has determined that technology, economic conditions, or other factors have not changed the regulatory activity covered by the rules.

**16. Are there any changes or developments since implementation that demonstrate there is no continued need for the rules, or any portion of the rules?**

There is a continued need for these rules as they set forth the law enforcement standards and training for all persons seeking a license or who are licensed under the Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards Act.

**17. Is there an applicable decision record (as defined in MCL 24.203(6) and required by MCL 24.239(2))? If so, please attach the decision record.**

No

**Based on the information provided in this RFR, MOAHR concludes that there are sufficient policy and legal bases for approving the RFR. The RFR satisfies the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 to 24.328, and Executive Order No. 2019-6.**