Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules

611 W. Ottawa Street Lansing, MI 48909

Phone: 517-335-8658 Fax: 517-335-9512

AGENCY REPORT TO THE JOINT COMMITEE ON ADMNINISTRATIVE RULES (JCAR)

1. Agency Information

Agency name:

Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

Division/Bureau/Office:

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

Name of person completing this form:

JESSICA FOX

Phone number of person completing this form:

517-284-9294

E-mail of person completing this form:

FOXJ12@michigan.gov

Name of Department Regulatory Affairs Officer reviewing this form:

Elizabeth Arasim

2. Rule Set Information

MOAHR assigned rule set number:

2020-124 LR

Title of proposed rule set:

Marihuana Sampling and Testing

3. Purpose for the proposed rules and background:

To update the existing rules and codify the requirements for testing of marihuana products including the acceptable limits of contaminants in marihuana and marihuana products.

4. Summary of proposed rules:

The rule changes are designed to create greater consistency in the testing of marihuana product and to create cohesion between testing requirements, procedures, etc., in both medical and adult-use marihuana businesses. The rule changes are also meant to create greater consistency in laboratory operations. The rule changes will also require testing for cannabinoids other than delta-9 THC.

5. List names of newspapers in which the notice of public hearing was published and publication dates:

The Flint Journal – September 7, 2021 The Grand Rapids Press – September 7, 2021 The Mining Journal – September 7, 2021

6. Date of publication of rules and notice of public hearing in Michigan Register:

9/1/2021

7. Date, time, and location of public hearing:

 $9/27/2021\ 09:30\ AM$ at Williams Building, 1st Floor Auditorium , 525 West Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan

8. Provide the link the agency used to post the regulatory impact statement and cost-benefit analysis on its website:

https://ARS.apps.lara.state.mi.us/Transaction/RFRTransaction?TransactionID=1250

9. List of the name and title of agency representative(s) attending public hearing:

Andrew Brisbo - Executive Director

Jessica S. Fox – Departmental Analyst, Scientific & Legal Section

Kelly Kronner – Departmental Analyst, PR Section

10. Persons submitting comments of support:

Carbidex

Terrapin

MICIA

Michigan Investments 10

MCMA

PSI Labs

PJLA

Neogen

11. Persons submitting comments of opposition:

The Spott

Viridis

Carbidex

PJLA

Pleasantrees

PG Group

Bob Hendricks

Cresco Labs

Terrapin

MICIA

Shryne

Benjamin Joffe

PSI Labs

Cannabis Law Section – Special Committee on Rules

PuEr Lab

Steep Hill Michigan

42 Degrees

MCMA

American Fiber Company

Pleasantrees

North Coast Testing Laboratories of Michigan

12. Identify any changes made to the proposed rules based on comments received during the public comment period:

	Comments made at	Written	Agency Rationale	Rule number
Organization	public hearing	Comments	for change	& citation
				changed
1 MICIA		Clarify that an individual tag is destroyed after the drying process. The tags identify the plants as they are drying.	The agency agrees with this comment.	R 420.303(4)
2 Carbidex – These comments were provided by way of a Word copy of the Rule Set with comments from "TC" included.		Delete the reference to live	The agency agrees with this comment.	R 420.303(6)

3	Cresco Labs	Fresh frozen OR dry marijuana with this should be allowed.	s R 420.303(6)
4	The Spott	There is a typographical with this comme error in this rule. The agency agree with this comme to make this correction.	
5	Carbidex - These comments were provided by way of a Word copy of the Rule Set with comments from "TC" included.	Are there different rules for products not in a harvest batch? The agency agree with this comment. R 420.304(2)(d) was added for product that are not in harvest batches.	s (d)
6	Carbidex - These comments were provided by way of a Word copy of the Rule Set with comments from "TC" included.	This should not just be harvest batch. What about products other than flower? The agency agree with comment. R 420.304(2)(e) was added for product that are not in harvest batches.	s (e)
7	PSI Labs	Potency manipulation should not be allowed by any means, this should be more general. The agency agree with this comment.	s R 420.305(3) (a)(i)
8	PSI Labs	Potency reporting should be with this restricted to available reference material and validated methods.	s R 420.305(3) (a)(iii)(A)

9	Viridis	This rule is The agency agrees R 420.305(1)
		unclear as written with this comment. In the
		requirements are. draft that was
		submitted for
		public comment
		this provision was
		R 420.305(1)(a).
10	PJLA	This rule should The agency agrees R 420.305(1)
		be modified with with this
		more detail on the comment. In the
		provision of these draft that was
		documents. submitted for
		public comment
		this provision was
11	MICIA	R 420.305(1)(a).
11	MICIA	Requirements for analytical The agency agrees R 420.305(2) with this
		methods should comment.
		be modified.
12	Viridis	If a method is The agency agrees R 420.305(3)
12	VIIIII	validating using with this
		specific amounts, comment.
		these portions
		should not be
		changed.
13	MICIA	Terminology is The agency agrees R 420.305(3)
		incorrect. with this (a)(iii)
		comment.
14	The Spott	This should be The agency agrees R 420.305(3)
		deleted, not tested with this (f)
		in flower, tested comment. Instead
		in other products. of deletion, the
		language was modified to clarify
		that this is tested
		in marihuana
		products other
		than flower.
	<u> </u>	1 1
l		

15	Carbidex - These	This language should be	The agency agrees with this	R 420.305(3) (h)
	comments were	removed indicating that	comment.	(11)
	way of a Word	agency would		
	copy of the	publish a list.		
	Rule Set with			
	comments from			
16	"TC" included. PJLA	This should be	The agency agrees	R 420.305(5)
10	IJLA	changed from	with this	K 420.303(3)
		accreditation	comment.	
		body to		
		certification bo	<u> </u>	
17	PJLA	This should be	The agency agrees	R 420.305(4)
		changed from	with this	
		accreditation	comment.	
		body to certification bo	dv	
18	PJLA	Change to ISO	The agency agrees	R 420.305(4)
10	1 027 1	17065 accredite		120.303(1)
		certification bo		
19	PJLA	Change to ISO	The agency agrees	R 420.305(5)
		17065 accredite		
• 0		certification bo	*	- 100 00 T(0)
20	The Spott	This definition	σ	R 420.305(9)
		extremely problematic suc	with this	(c)
		that reporting o		
		Total THC resu		
		as defined cann		
		be met at this		
		time.		
21	42 Degrees	Potency should		R 420.305(9)
		be reported in	with this	(h)
		milligrams per	comment.	
22	Viridis	something. Please define	The agency agrees	R 420.305(b)
	v iiiuis	what Good	with this	(8)
		Laboratory	comment. The	
		Practices means		
			was included.	

23	PJLA	A laboratory shall The agency agrees	R 420.305
-		participate in a with this	(14)
		third-party comment.	
		proficiency	
		testing with an	
		ISO 17043	
		accredited	
		provider. The	
		proficiency	
		testing provider	
		shall be	
		accredited for all	
		relevant tests	
		required by the	
		agency and by an	
		accreditation	
		body recognized under the	
		international	
		laboratory	
		accreditation	
		cooperation	
		(ILAC).	
24	Viridis	"Cherry pick" is The agency agrees	R 420.305
		not a scientific with this	(16)(c)
		term. This is a comment.	
		colloquial term	
		and does not	
		belong in this	
		document.	
		"Testing specific	
		material from a	
		batch" is also	
		meaningless.	
		Any material chosen could be	
		called "specific	
		material".	
25	MICIA	Delete: [t]here The agency agrees	R 420.305
-		are no established with this	(18)
		safety standards comment.	
		for this analysis.	

26	PSI Labs		R 420.305 (21)
27	The Spott	Conflicts with The agency agrees	R 420.305 (22)
28	The Spott		R 420.305a (2)
29	The Spott		R 420.305b (6)
30	Viridis	It is over-reach to The agency agrees	R 420.305b (5)

	provided by way of a Word copy of the Rule Set with comments from "TC" included.	for Mycotoxin and remediation should be allowed.	
32	42 Degrees	for continued quality studies, reserving a portion of a finished product to run other/non-safety compliance testing on, can you pull a portion of a product and make another tag and submit for R&D after passing testing?	R 420.307(7)
33	42 Degrees	Clarify a definition of production batch. The agency agrees with this comment. Therefore, a definition was added to the rule set.	R 420.301(1) (bb)
34	MCMA	Comment was received on the Marihuana Licenses rule set that the definition of Marihuana Establishment should be modified to match the definition in MRTMA. Definition updated to match definition in other rule set where feedback was incorporated under R 420.1(1) (s).	

35	Cresco Labs	Fresh frozen OR This was removed	Proposed R
		dry marijuana as a result of	420.307(6)
		should be modifications	
		allowed. made to previous	
		rule sections.	
36	Viridis	Change extracted The agency agrees	R 420.303(6)
		to processed. with this	
		comment.	
37	PJLA	Change to ISO Required adoption	R 420.302(1)
		17065 accredited by reference when	(e)
		certification body. modifications	
		were made to R	
		420.305(5).	
38	PJLA	A laboratory shall Required adoption	R 420.302(1)
		participate in a by reference when	(f)
		third-party modifications	
		proficiency were made to R	
		testing with an 420.305(14).	
		ISO 17043	
		accredited	
		provider. The	
		proficiency	
		testing provider	
		shall be	
		accredited for all	
		relevant tests	
		required by the	
		agency and by an	
		accreditation	
		body recognized	
		under the	
		international	
		laboratory	
		accreditation	
		cooperation	
		(ILAC).	

13.Date report completed:

12/16/2021