
Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

SFA**BILL ANALYSIS**

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bill 721 (as reported with amendment)
Sponsor: Senator Bill Bullard, Jr.
Committee: Finance

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Use Tax Act to provide an exemption from the tax for the use or consumption of telephone service by means of a prepaid telephone calling card, a prepaid authorization number for telephone use, or a charge for Internet access.

The bill is tie-barred to Senate Bill 716, which would apply the sales tax to the sale of a prepaid telephone calling care or a prepaid authorization number for telephone use.

MCL 205.94

Legislative Analyst: S. Lowe

FISCAL IMPACT

Senate Bills 716 and 721 would switch the State tax on prepaid calling cards from the use tax to the sales tax. It is estimated that this change would result in a slight revenue gain to State government of \$0.2 million a year. Some of this revenue gain would benefit local governments through higher revenue sharing payments.

This gain in revenue would be due to three factors: 1) It would be easier to assess the sales tax on the retail sale of prepaid calling cards than it currently is to identify and assess the use tax on the value of each individual call made with the card. 2) Assessing the sales tax at the time of purchase would capture tax on the entire value of the calling card, including any small balances that go unused. Currently under the use tax, any unused prepaid balances are not taxed. 3) The price of prepaid calling cards includes a retail mark-up, and therefore, the retail price is generally slightly more than the actual value of the phone calls that can be made with a card. Therefore, the sales tax assessed on the retail price would generate slightly more than the sum of the use tax assessed on the value of each call.

Due to differences in how the revenues from the sales tax and use tax are earmarked, a switch from the use tax to the sales tax would generate more revenue for the School Aid Fund, and local revenue sharing, and less revenue for the General Fund, compared with the situation under current law.

Date Completed: 10-14-97

Fiscal Analyst: J. Wortley

floor\sb721

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.