Legislative Analysis



Mitchell Bean, Director Phone: (517) 373-8080 http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

ALLOW INHALER/EPINEPHRINE AT CAMPS

Senate Bill 335 (Substitute S-2) Sponsor: Sen. Gilda Z. Jacobs House Committee: Health Policy Senate Committee: Health Policy

Complete to 6-24-05

A SUMMARY OF SENATE BILL 335 AS PASSED BY THE SENATE 6-9-05

The bill would amend the child care licensing act (Public Act 116 of 1973) to allow a minor child to possess and use a metered dose or dry powder inhaler or an epinephrine auto-injector or inhaler at a children's camp.

Under the bill, if certain conditions (described below) were met, notwithstanding any children's camp policy to the contrary, a minor child could possess and use any of the following at the camp, on camp-sponsored transportation, or at any activity, event, or program sponsored by the camp or in which the child was participating:

- A metered dose inhaler or a dry powder inhaler to alleviate asthmatic symptoms or for use before exercise to prevent the onset of asthmatic symptoms.
- An epinephrine auto-injector (e.g., EpiPen) or epinephrine inhaler to treat anaphylaxis.

A minor child could possess and use the devices only if all of the following conditions were met:

- The child had written approval to possess and use the inhaler or auto-injector from his or her physician or other health care provider authorized by law to prescribe an inhaler or epinephrine auto-injector and from the child's parent or legal guardian.
- The director or other chief administrator of the camp had received a copy of the written approval.
- There was on file at the camp a written emergency care plan that contained specific instructions for the child's needs, that was prepared by a Michigan-licensed physician in collaboration with the child and his or her parent or legal guardian, and that was updated as necessary for changing circumstances.

A children's camp or an owner, director, or employee would not be liable for damages in a civil action for injury, death, or loss to person or property allegedly arising from either of the following:

- ** A camp employee's having prohibited a child from using an inhaler or auto-injector because the specified conditions had not been satisfied.
- ** A camp employee's having permitted a child to use or possess an inhaler or autoinjector because the prescribed conditions had been satisfied.

The bill specifies that this provision would not eliminate, limit, or reduce any other immunity or defense that a camp or an owner, director, or employee could have under other state law.

A camp could request that a child's parent provide an extra inhaler or auto-injector to designated camp personnel for use in case of emergency. A parent or legal guardian, however, could not be required to do so.

A director or other chief administrator of a camp who was aware that a child possessed an inhaler or epinephrine auto-injector as authorized under the bill would have to notify each camp employee who supervised the child of that fact and the bill's provisions.

MCL 722.117a

FISCAL IMPACT:

The bill would have no fiscal impact on the State or on local units of government.

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky Fiscal Analyst: Robert Schneider

[■] This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.