



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



BILL ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986
TDD: (517) 373-0543

Senate Bill 791 (as introduced 9-9-09)
Sponsor: Senator Gerald Van Woerkom
Committee: Local, Urban and State Affairs

Date Completed: 10-26-09

CONTENT

The bill would create the "Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act" to provide for the recording of electronic documents by a county register of deeds. Specifically, the bill would do all of the following:

- Provide that an electronic document would satisfy any requirement that a document be an original for the purpose of recording.**
- Provide that an electronic signature would satisfy a requirement that a document be signed for the purpose of recording.**
- Create an Electronic Recording Commission and require it to adopt standards to implement the proposed Act, keeping the standards in harmony with those of other jurisdictions that enacted it.**
- Require a county register of deeds who implemented functions related to the recording of electronic documents to do so in compliance with the standards established by the Commission.**

Under the proposed Act, if a law required as a condition for recording that a document be an original, be on paper or another tangible medium, or be in writing, the requirement would be satisfied by an electronic document. Also, if a law required as a condition for recording that a document be signed, the requirement would be satisfied by an electronic signature.

("Document" would mean information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form, and that is eligible to be recorded in the land records maintained by the county register of deeds. "Electronic document" would mean a document that the county register of deeds receives in an electronic form.

"Electronic signature" would mean an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a document and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the document.)

A requirement that a document or signature associated with a document be notarized, acknowledge, verified, witnessed, or made under oath would be satisfied if the electronic signature of the person authorized to perform that act, and all other information required to be included, were attached to or logically associated with the document or signature. A physical or electronic image of a stamp, impression, or seal would not have to accompany an electronic signature.

A county register of deeds could do any of the following:

- Receive, index, store, archive, and transmit electronic documents.
- Provide for access to, and for search and retrieval of, documents and information by electronic means.
- Continue to accept paper documents for recording as authorized by State law while also accepting electronic documents for recording, and place entries for both types of documents in the same index.
- Convert paper documents accepted for recording into electronic form.
- Convert into electronic form information recorded before the register of deeds began to record electronic documents.
- Accept electronically any fee or tax that the register of deeds is authorized to collect.
- Agree with other officials of a state or a political subdivision of a state, or of the United States, on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and conditions precedent to recording and the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

A county register of deeds who implemented any of those functions would have to do so in compliance with standards established by the proposed Electronic Recording Commission. The bill would create the Commission within the Department of Information Technology (DIT). The Commission would have to consist of the following seven members:

- The DIT Director or his or her designee.
- Six members appointed by the Governor, including three county registers of deeds and three individuals engaged in the land title profession.

The appointed members would serve for a term of two years or until a successor was appointed, whichever was later, except that the members first appointed would serve staggered terms. The Governor could remove an appointed member for incompetency, dereliction of duty, malfeasance, misfeasance, or nonfeasance in office, or any other good cause.

The DIT Director would have to call the first Commission meeting. At that meeting, the Commission would have to elect from among its members a chairperson and other officers as it considered necessary or appropriate. After the first meeting, the Commission would have to meet at least quarterly, or more frequently at the call of the chairperson or if requested by at least four members. The Commission would be subject to the Open Meetings Act and the Freedom of Information Act.

Members would serve without compensation, but could be reimbursed for their actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their official duties as Commission members.

The Commission would have to adopt standards to implement the proposed Act. To keep the standards and practices of county registers of deed in Michigan in harmony with the standards and practices of county registers of deeds in other jurisdictions that enacted substantially the proposed Act, and to keep the technology they used compatible with technology used by offices of county registers of deeds in those other jurisdictions, the Commission, so far as was consistent with the purposes, policies, and provisions of the proposed Act, in adopting, amending, and repealing standards, would have to consider all of the following:

- Standards and practices of other jurisdictions.
- The most recent standards promulgated by national standard-setting bodies, such as the Property Records Industry Association.
- The views of interested people and governmental officials and entities.

- The needs of counties of varying size, population, and resources.
- Standards requiring adequate information security protection to ensure that electronic documents were accurate, authentic, preserved adequately, and resistant to tampering.

In applying and construing the proposed Act, consideration would have to be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enacted it.

The bill provides that the proposed Act would modify, limit, and supersede the Federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, but would not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that Act, or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that Act.

(The Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act provides that, with respect to any transaction in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, a signature, contract, or other record may not be denied legal effect, validity, or enforceability solely because it is in electronic form; and a contract may not be denied legal effect, validity, or enforceability solely because an electronic signature or electronic record was used in its formation. Section 101(c) provides that if a statute, regulation, or other rule of law requires that information relating to a transaction in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce be provided or made available to a consumer in writing, the use of an electronic record satisfies the requirement if the consumer has consented affirmatively to such use and has not withdrawn consent, and the consumer, before consenting, is informed of his or her rights regarding consent and the ability to obtain the information on paper or in other nonelectronic form.)

Section 103(b) lists documents that are not subject to Section 101. These include court orders or notices or official court documents required in connection with court proceedings, and specified notices pertaining to the termination of utility services, action taken under a credit agreement secured by or a rental agreement for an individual's primary residence, and other matters.)

Legislative Analyst: Julie Cassidy

FISCAL IMPACT

There should be only minimal costs associated with the creation of the Electronic Recording Commission. Although there would be no compensation for commissioners, the bill would allow reimbursement for costs associated with commission duties. The estimated additional costs are indeterminate but should be minimal.

Any costs associated with accepting electronic documents or converting documents into electronic format should be absorbed within individual counties' budgets. However, depending on staff size and technological ability, the conversion of documents into electronic format could create additional costs for some local registers of deeds in excess of current budgeted amounts. The estimate of these additional costs is indeterminate.

Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco

S0910\791sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.