



Senate Fiscal Agency
P. O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

**BILL ANALYSIS**

Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

House Bill 4125 (as passed by the House)
Sponsor: Representative Al Pscholka
House Committee: Health Policy
Senate Committee: Health Policy

Date Completed: 12-4-13

CONTENT

The bill would repeal Sections 2, 3, and 4 of Public Act 162 of 1969 (which authorized the establishment of the Michigan State University College of Osteopathic Medicine) to eliminate the Michigan Osteopathic Medicine Advisory Board.

Section 2 of the Act established the Advisory Board and prescribes its membership. Section 3 does the following:

- Authorizes the Advisory Board to elect or designate an individual to preside over meetings.
- Requires the Advisory Board to elect necessary officers.
- Provides that an officer does not have the power to incur obligations or to dispose of property or funds, except in pursuance of a vote of the Advisory Board and with concurrence of the board of control of the institution of higher education to which the school is assigned.
- Requires the Advisory Board to advise the board of control on all matters relevant to the School of Osteopathic Medicine.

Section 4 pertains to the conduct of Advisory Board business and authorizes the Advisory Board to make recommendations regarding the following:

- Operation of the school.
- Tuition and other fees and charges.
- The appointment or removal of personnel as the interests of the school and the generally accepted principles of academic tenure permit or require.
- Compensation to be paid for services and materials.

MCL 390.662-390.664

Legislative Analyst: Julie Cassidy

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would result in minimal savings to Michigan State University. Michigan State University reports that the Board is no longer active. Previously, the Board met twice a year and annual costs were approximately \$1,300.

Fiscal Analyst: Bill Bowerman

S1314\4125sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.