HOUSE BILL NO. 4852

September 09, 2025, Introduced by Reps. Slagh, Woolford, Kunse, Pavlov, Beson, Neyer, Fox, Bierlein and T. Carter and referred to Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled "Michigan vehicle code,"

by amending section 323 (MCL 257.323), as amended by 2018 PA 99.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

- 1 Sec. 323. (1) A person An individual aggrieved by a final
- 2 determination of the secretary of state denying the person
- 3 individual an operator's or chauffeur's license, a vehicle group
- 4 designation, or an indorsement endorsement on a license or
- 5 revoking, suspending, or restricting an operator's or chauffeur's

- 1 license, vehicle group designation, or an indorsement endorsement
- 2 may petition for a review of the determination in the circuit court
- 3 in the county where the person individual was arrested if the
- 4 denial or suspension was imposed under section 625f or under the
- 5 order of a trial court under section 328 or, in all other cases, in
- 6 the circuit court in the person's individual's county of residence.
- 7 The person-individual shall file the petition within not more than
- 8 63 days after the determination is made except that for good cause
- 9 shown the court may allow the person-individual to file the
- 10 petition within not more than 182 days after the determination is
- 11 made. As provided in section 625f, a peace officer aggrieved by a
- 12 determination of a hearing officer in favor of a person an
- 13 individual who requested a hearing under section 625f may, with the
- 14 prosecuting attorney's consent, petition for review of the
- 15 determination in the circuit court in the county where the arrest
- 16 was made. The peace officer shall file the petition within not more
- 17 than 63 days after the determination is made except that for good
- 18 cause shown the court may allow the peace officer to file the
- 19 petition within not more than 182 days after the determination is
- **20** made.
- 21 (2) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the circuit
- 22 court shall enter an order setting the cause for hearing for a day
- 23 certain not more than 63 days after the order's date. The order, a
- 24 copy of the petition that includes the person's individual's full
- 25 name, current address, birth date, and driver's driver license
- 26 number, and all supporting affidavits must be served on the
- 27 secretary of state's office in Lansing not less than 20 days before
- 28 the date set for the hearing. If the person individual is seeking a
- 29 review of the record prepared under section 322 or section 625f,

- the service upon on the secretary of state must be made not less
 than 50 days before the date set for the hearing.
- $\bf 3$ (3) The court may take testimony and examine all the facts and
- 4 circumstances relating to the denial, revocation, suspension, or
- 5 restriction of the person's individual's license under sections
- 6 303(1)(d), 320, or 904(10) or (11), a licensing action under
- 7 section 310d, or a suspension for a first violation under section
- 8 625f. The court may affirm, modify, or set aside the restriction,
- 9 revocation, suspension, or denial, except the court shall not order
- 10 the secretary of state to issue a restricted or unrestricted
- 11 chauffeur's license that would permit the person individual to
- 12 drive a commercial motor vehicle that hauls a hazardous material.
- 13 The court shall enter the order and the petitioner shall file a
- 14 certified copy of the order with the secretary of state's office in
- 15 Lansing within not more than 7 days after entry of the order. Not
- 16 more than 7 days after the secretary of state receives a certified
- 17 copy of the court order that modifies or sets aside the
- 18 restriction, revocation, suspension, or denial, the secretary of
- 19 state shall send written correspondence to the petitioner that
- 20 includes all of the following:
- 21 (a) Confirmation of receipt of the court order.
- 22 (b) Notification to the petitioner that the petitioner may be
- 23 examined in a manner prescribed by the secretary of state and that
- 24 the petitioner is required to meet all of the qualifications
- 25 prescribed in section 309.
- 26 (c) Notification to the petitioner of the reinstatement fee
- 27 under section 320e, if applicable.
- 28 (4) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in reviewing
- 29 a determination resulting in a denial, suspension, restriction, or

- 1 revocation under this act, the court shall confine its
- 2 consideration to a review of the record prepared under section 322
- 3 or 625f or the driving record created under section 204a for a
- 4 statutory legal issue, and may determine that the petitioner is
- 5 eligible for full driving privileges or, if the petitioner is
- 6 subject to a revocation under section 303, may determine that the
- 7 petitioner is eligible for restricted driving privileges. The court
- 8 shall set aside the secretary of state's determination only if 1 or
- 9 more of the following apply:
- 10 (a) In determining whether a petitioner is eligible for full
- 11 driving privileges, the petitioner's substantial rights have been
- 12 prejudiced because the determination is any of the following:
- (i) In violation of the Constitution of the United States, the
- 14 state constitution of 1963, or a statute.
- (ii) In excess of the secretary of state's statutory authority
- 16 or jurisdiction.
- 17 (iii) Made upon on unlawful procedure resulting in material
- 18 prejudice to the petitioner.
- 19 (iv) Not supported by competent, material, and substantial
- 20 evidence on the whole record.
- 21 (v) Arbitrary, capricious, or clearly an abuse or unwarranted
- 22 exercise of discretion.
- 23 (vi) Affected by other substantial and material error of law.
- 24 (b) In determining whether a petitioner is eligible for review
- 25 of a revocation or denial under section 303, or whether a
- 26 petitioner is eligible for restricted driving privileges, all of
- 27 the following apply:
- 28 (i) The petitioner's substantial rights have been prejudiced as
- 29 described in subdivision (a).

- 1 (ii) All of the following are satisfied:
- 2 (A) The revocation or denial occurred at least 1 year after
- 3 the petitioner's license was revoked or denied, or, if the
- 4 petitioner's license was previously revoked or denied within the 7
- 5 years preceding the most recent revocation or denial, at least 5
- 6 years after the most recent revocation or denial, whichever is
- 7 later.
- 8 (B) The court finds that the petitioner meets the department's
- 9 requirements under the rules promulgated by the department under
- 10 the administrative procedures act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201
- 11 to 24.238. 24.328. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph only, the
- 12 court may take additional testimony to supplement the record
- 13 prepared under section 322 or 625f or the driving record created
- 14 under section 204a, but shall not expand the record.
- 15 (C) If the revocation or denial was under section 303(2)(a),
- 16 (b), (c), or (g), the petitioner rebuts by clear and convincing
- 17 evidence the presumption that he or she the petitioner is a
- 18 habitual offender, and establishes to the court's satisfaction that
- 19 he or she the petitioner is likely to adhere to any requirements
- 20 imposed by the court. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph, the
- 21 conviction that resulted in the revocation and any record of denial
- 22 of reinstatement by the department are prima facie evidence that
- 23 the petitioner is a habitual offender. For purposes of this sub-
- 24 subparagraph only, the court may take additional testimony to
- 25 supplement the record prepared under section 322 or 625f or the
- 26 driving record created under section 204a, but shall not expand the
- 27 record.
- 28 (5) If the court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 29 restricted driving privileges under subsection (4)(b), the court

- 1 shall issue an order that includes, but is not limited to, all of
 2 the following:
- 3 (a) The court's findings under section 303 and R 257.1 to R4 257.1727 of the Michigan Administrative Code.
- (b) A requirement that each motor vehicle operated by the
 petitioner be equipped with a properly installed and functioning
 ignition interlock device for a period of not less than 1 year
 before the petitioner will be eligible to return to the secretary
- $oldsymbol{9}$ of state for a hearing. The petitioner shall bear the cost of an
- 10 ignition interlock device required under this subdivision. A
- 11 restricted license must not be issued to the petitioner until the
- 12 secretary of state has verified that 1 or more ignition interlock
- 13 devices, if applicable, have been installed as required by this
- 14 subdivision.
- 15 (c) A method by which the court will verify that the 16 petitioner maintains no-fault insurance for each vehicle described 17 in subdivision (b) as required by chapter 31 of the insurance code 18 of 1956, 1956 PA 218, MCL 500.3101 to 500.3179.
- (d) A requirement that a restricted license issued to the
 petitioner must not permit the petitioner to operate a commercial
 motor vehicle that hauls hazardous materials.
- (e) A provision that the secretary of state shall revoke thepetitioner's restricted license if any of the following occur:
- 24 (i) The petitioner violates the restrictions on his or her the25 petitioner's license.
- 26 (ii) The petitioner violates subdivision (b).
- (iii) The petitioner removes, or causes to be removed, an
 ignition interlock device required under subdivision (b), unless
 the secretary of state has authorized the removal under section

- **1** 322a.
- (iv) The petitioner commits an act that would be a major
- 3 violation if the petitioner's license had been issued under section
- 4 322(6) or consumes alcohol or a controlled substance without a
- 5 prescription. As used in this subparagraph, "major violation" means
- 6 that term as defined in R 257.301a of the Michigan Administrative
- 7 Code.
- 8 (v) The petitioner is arrested for a violation of section 625
- 9 or a local ordinance, law of this state or another state, or law of
- 10 the United States that substantially corresponds to section 625.
- 11 (6) If the court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 12 restricted driving privileges under this section and the petitioner
- 13 intends to operate a vehicle owned by his or her the petitioner's
- 14 employer, the court shall notify the employer of the petitioner's
- 15 obligation under subsection (5) (b). This subsection does not
- 16 require an employer who receives a notice under this subsection to
- 17 install an ignition interlock device on a vehicle. This subsection
- 18 does not apply to a vehicle that is operated by a self-employed
- 19 individual who uses the vehicle for both business and personal use.
- 20 (7) If a court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 21 restricted driving privileges, the secretary of state shall not
- 22 issue a restricted license to the petitioner until he or she the
- 23 petitioner has satisfied any other applicable requirements of state
- 24 or federal law, and shall not issue a restricted license to the
- 25 petitioner if the order granting eligibility for restricted driving
- 26 privileges does not comply with subsection (5).
- 27 (8) If a court determines that a petitioner is eligible for
- 28 restricted driving privileges, the court shall notify the
- 29 department of its determination through the issuance of an order

- 1 under subsection (5) and shall not retain jurisdiction over a
- 2 license issued under this section.